

ON PLANE CREMONA TRANSFORMATIONS OF FIXED DEGREE

CINZIA BISI, ALBERTO CALABRI AND MASSIMILIANO MELLA

INTRODUCTION

The birational geometry of algebraic varieties is governed by the group of birational self-maps. It is in general very difficult to determine this group for an arbitrary variety and as a matter of facts only few examples are completely understood. The special case of the projective plane attracted lots of attention since the XIXth-century. The pioneering work of Cremona and then the classical geometers of the Italian and German school were able to give partial descriptions of it but it was only after Noether and Castelnuovo that a full satisfying picture was clear. The Noether–Castelnuovo Theorem, see for instance [1], states that the group of birational self-maps of $\mathbb{P}^2$, usually called the *plane Cremona group* and denoted by $\text{Cr}(2)$, is generated by linear automorphisms of $\mathbb{P}^2$ and a single birational non biregular map, the so-called *elementary quadratic transformation* $\sigma: \mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ defined by $\sigma([x : y : z]) = [yz : xz : xy]$.

Even if the generators of $\text{Cr}(2)$ have been known for a century now, many other properties of this group are still mysterious. Only very recently, see [24], a complete set of relations has been described, the non simplicity of $\text{Cr}(2)$ has been showed, [4] and a complete classification of its finite subgroups has been achieved, see [13]. This brief and fairly incomplete list is only meant to stress the difficulties and the large unknown parts in the study of $\text{Cr}(2)$, for a more complete picture the interested reader should refer to [11]. Amid all its subgroups the one associated to polynomial automorphisms of the plane, $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^2)$, attracted even more attention than $\text{Cr}(2)$ itself. The generators of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^2)$ are known since 1942, [30], and later on, [34], has been proved that $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^2)$ is the amalgamated product of two of its subgroups, more precisely of the affine and elementary ones. Nevertheless this group is not less mysterious and challenging than the entire Cremona group. Jung's description yields a natural decomposition

$$\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^2) = A \cup G[2] \cup G[3] \cup G[2, 2] \cup G[4] \cup G[5] \cdots$$

into sets of polynomial automorphisms of multidegree $(d_1, \dots, d_m)$ and the affine subgroup A . Friedland and Milnor proved that $G[d_1, \dots, d_m]$ is a smooth analytic manifold of dimension $(d_1 + d_2 + \dots + d_m + 6)$. Later on, Furter, [20], computed the number of irreducible components of polynomial automorphisms of $\mathbb{C}^2$ with fixed degree less or equal to 9 and proved that the variety of polynomial automorphisms of the plane with degree bounded by the positive integer n , is reducible when $n \geq 4$. Later on Edo and Furter, [22], studied some degenerations of the multidegrees: for example they were able to show that $G[3] \cap \overline{G[2, 2]} \neq \emptyset$ using the lower semicontinuity of the length of a plane polynomial automorphism as a word of the amalgamated product, [21]. Contrarily to what happens in the Cremona group $\text{Cr}(2)$, see [3], the group of polynomial automorphisms G of the plane can be endowed with a structure of an infinite-dimensional algebraic group. Denoted by G_d the set of polynomial automorphisms of fixed degree d , Furter proved in [23] that G_d is a smooth and locally closed subset of G .

Inspired by these works on $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^2)$ and by [5] we approach the study of $\text{Cr}(2)$ forgetting its group structure. Our aim is to study an explicit set of functions generating a birational map. In this way the same birational map is associated to different triples

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14E07; 58J20.

Key words and phrases. plane Cremona transformations, homaloidal nets, De Jonquières transformations, Lefschetz fixed point theorem.

of homogeneous polynomials.

Suppose that a Cremona transformation $\gamma: \mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ is written as

$$\gamma([x : y : z]) = [f_1(x, y, z) : f_2(x, y, z) : f_3(x, y, z)], \quad (1)$$

where $f_1, f_2, f_3 \in \mathbb{C}[x, y, z]_d$ are homogeneous polynomials of degree d , then the coefficients of the f_i 's define a point in $\mathbb{P}^{3N-1=3\binom{d+2}{2}-1=3d(d+3)/2+2}$ and we may define

$$\text{Bir}_d = \{\gamma = [f_1 : f_2 : f_3], \gamma: \mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2 \text{ is birational}\} \subset \mathbb{P}^{3N-1},$$

as the subset of $\mathbb{P}^{3N-1}$ that parametrises the coefficients of all triples of polynomials $[f_1 : f_2 : f_3]$ of degree d associated to a birational map, up to multiplication by the same nonzero scalar. There is a natural subset to consider in Bir_d , the one corresponding to triples without common factors. The latter called Bir_d° will be the main actor of this paper, see section 1 for all the relevant definitions.

Among other things, in [5] the authors describe Bir_d° and Bir_d for $d \leq 3$, see §1 for some of their results. Their description is essentially based on a set-theoretic analysis of the plane curves contracted by a Cremona transformation of degree ≤ 3 .

In this paper, we describe Cremona transformations $\mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ in the usual classical way, i.e. we consider the so-called *homaloidal net* of plane curves in the source plane corresponding to the net of lines in the target plane. Roughly speaking, this means to study the span $\langle f_1, f_2, f_3 \rangle \subset \mathbb{C}^{N=\binom{d+2}{2}}$ in the above setting.

By looking at base points of homaloidal nets, we prove the following, cf. §2 later:

Theorem 1. *For each $d \geq 2$, Bir_d° is a quasi-projective variety of dimension $4d + 6$ in $\mathbb{P}^{3d(d+3)/2+2}$ and, if $d \geq 4$, it is reducible.*

Each irreducible component of Bir_d° is rational.

Each element of the maximal dimension component of Bir_d° is a De Jonquières transformation, i.e. it is defined by a homaloidal net of plane curves of degree d with a base point of multiplicity $d - 1$ and $2d - 2$ simple base points.

If $d \geq 4$, there are further irreducible components of Bir_d° having dimension at most $2d + 12$. Indeed, each irreducible component of Bir_d° is determined by a set of $(d - 1)$ -tuples of non-negative integers $(\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_{d-2}, \nu_{d-1})$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} i\nu_i = 3d - 3$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} i\nu_i^2 = d^2 - 1$. Each one of these components of Bir_d° has dimension $8 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \nu_i$ and its general element is a Cremona transformation given by a homaloidal net of plane curves of degree d with ν_i base points of multiplicity i , in general position.

Quite surprisingly, in this set up, we may say that the general Cremona transformation in Bir_d° is *De Jonquières*. For small values of the degree d something more can be said. Our approach yields an explicit description of Bir_d° and allows us to prove its connectedness, for $d \leq 6$.

Theorem 2. *For each $d \leq 6$, Bir_d° is connected.*

Even if, from our point of view, Bir_d° is the right variety to consider we complete the study extending the results to the whole Bir_d .

Theorem 3. *For each $d \geq 2$, Bir_d is a connected quasi-projective variety of dimension*

$$\max \left\{ 4d + 6, \frac{d(d+1)}{2} + 7 \right\} = \begin{cases} 4d + 6 & \text{if } d \leq 6, \\ \frac{(d+1)d}{2} + 7 & \text{if } d \geq 7. \end{cases}$$

in $\mathbb{P}^{3d(d+3)/2+2}$. If $d \geq 3$, Bir_d is reducible.

Each irreducible component of Bir_d is rational.

If $d \geq 7$, each element of the maximal dimension component of Bir_d is $[hl_1 : hl_2 : hl_3]$ where $\deg(h) = d - 1$ and $\deg(l_i) = 1$, $i = 1, 2, 3$.

Also in this case our approach allows a more precise representation of Bir_d and an explicit description of it for low d , see section 2. This also corrects an imprecision in [5] about Bir_3 . In principle one could describe the irreducible components of Bir_d° and of Bir_d , for a fixed degree d . As a matter of facts the combinatorial and computations become wild when the degree increases. For this reason we think that it would be interesting to find closed formulas for the numbers $N^\circ(d)$ and $N(d)$ of irreducible components of Bir_d° and Bir_d and to study the connectedness of Bir_d° for each d .

This study of Bir_d° , as pointed out by Cerveau and Deserti in [5], also allows to build a bridge between the classical algebraic geometry of $\text{Cr}(2)$ and foliations on $\mathbb{P}^k$, $k \geq 2$. The reconstruction of a foliation from its singular set, [9], [10], the study of irreducible components of foliations on $\mathbb{P}^k$, $k \geq 3$, [7], [8], and the description of the orbits by the action of $\mathbb{PGL}(3, \mathbb{C})$ on the foliations of fixed degree 2 on $\mathbb{P}^2$, [6], has been extensively studied and suggests the possibility to use our methods also in this context. We will not dwell on this here.

Our next aim, once more in the spirit of [5], is to point out algebraic relations between the eigenvalues of the differential of a generic $f \in \text{Bir}_d^\circ$ and the algebraic degree d of f . To do this we turn our attention on the celebrated Lefschetz fixed point theorem, see [25], proving some meromorphic versions of it. Let I_f be the indeterminacy set of the meromorphic map f and denote $f_0 = f|_{\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus I_f}$. If Δ is the diagonal of $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ we set Γ_{f_0} the graph of f_0 inside $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ and $\Gamma_f = \overline{\Gamma_{f_0}}$ the closure of Γ_{f_0} in $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$. Denote $\tilde{F} = \pi_1(\Gamma_f \cap \Delta)$, where π_1 is the projection map $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ on the first factor. Then we are able to prove the following:

Theorem 4. *Let $f \in \text{Bir}_d^\circ$ with $(d+2)$ fixed points in general position, distinct and simple, i.e. Γ_{f_0} intersects Δ transversally. Moreover suppose that $\tilde{F} \cap I_f = \emptyset$, i.e. $\tilde{F} = \text{Fix}(f_0)$. Then it holds:*

$$\sum_{x \in \text{Fix}(f_0)} \frac{1}{\det(I - Df_x)} = 1, \quad \sum_{x \in \text{Fix}(f_0)} \frac{-\text{tr}(Df_x)}{\det(I - Df_x)} = d, \quad \sum_{x \in \text{Fix}(f_0)} \frac{Df_x}{\det(I - Df_x)} = 1. \quad (2)$$

For the sake of completeness, we start section 3 asserting and proving a more general meromorphic Lefschetz fixed point theorem on each compact complex manifold M , also in presence of multiple isolated fixed points of the meromorphic map, see Theorem 59. We deduce from it a rational Lefschetz fixed point theorem for meromorphic self-maps of $\mathbb{P}^n$ with isolated and simple fixed points, in order to have more computable formulas, see (3): we obtain algebraic relations among the eigenvalues of the differential of f at its fixed points and the multidegree $(d_0, \dots, d_n)$ of f , which represents the linear action of the pull-back f^* on the Dolbeault cohomology groups.

Theorem 5. *Let $f : \mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ be a rational map with isolated fixed points and such that Γ_{f_0} intersects Δ transversally. Moreover suppose that $\tilde{F} \cap I_f = \emptyset$, i.e. $\tilde{F} = \text{Fix}(f_0)$. Then, $\forall p = 0, \dots, n$ it holds:*

$$\sum_{x \in \text{Fix}(f_0)} \frac{(-1)^p \sigma_p(Df_x)}{\det(I - Df_x)} = d_p \quad (3)$$

where σ_p is the p .th symmetric function of the eigenvalues of the matrix Df_x and $(d_0, \dots, d_n)$ is the multidegree of f , which represents the linear action of the pull-back f^* on the Dolbeault cohomology groups.

We recall that in [25] a holomorphic version of the Lefschetz fixed point theorem has been proven only for the first holomorphic Lefschetz number of f ; in our paper we are concerned with the more general context of meromorphic functions.

In [26], Guillot was interested in recovering that certain algebraic relations between the eigenvalues of the differential of a holomorphic endomorphism of $\mathbb{P}^n$ at its fixed

points depends only on n and on the algebraic degree of the endomorphism; its algebraic relations are similar to the first members of our formulas (3) in Theorem 5, but in a certain sense they are more general because instead of our σ_p , the p .th symmetric function of the eigenvalues of the differential matrix Df_x , he considers an arbitrary polynomial B on the space of linear transformations, invariant by conjugation and homogeneous of degree $e \leq n$.

Nevertheless, our Theorem 5 is stated in the more general meromorphic setting and we are able to produce closed formulas (missed in the Guillot's article) which relate the eigenvalues of the differential at the fixed points with the multidegree of the meromorphic self-map of $\mathbb{P}^n$.

The algebraic relations (2) of Theorem 4 give the first obstructions to a collection of complex numbers to be realized as eigenvalues of the differential of a generic $f \in \text{Bir}_d^\circ$ at its simple and isolated fixed points and provide constraints to the possible dynamical behaviours of the sequence of the iterates at the fixed points.

Indeed it is well known that for a generic automorphism φ of $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{C})$ the three fixed points cannot be all attractive fixed points for the sequence of the iterates $\{\varphi^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Our Corollary 6 generalizes this result to a generic $f \in \text{Bir}_d^\circ$, for any degree d :

Corollary 6. *Let $f \in \text{Bir}_d^\circ$ with $(d+2)$ different and simple fixed points and such that $\tilde{F} \cap I_f = \emptyset$. The eigenvalues of the linear part of f computed on the fixed points of f cannot be all of modulus strictly less than 1, i.e. the fixed points of the generic $f \in \text{Bir}_d^\circ$ cannot be all attractive.*

The case $d = 2$ was previously treated in [5], but our approach using a meromorphic Lefschetz fixed point theorem allows to strengthen their statement in all the degrees $d > 2$.

When this work was finished we were informed by J. Blanc of D. Nguyen Dat's PhD thesis, [33]. Some of the results, and the techniques, in this paper overlap the content of his work. In particular he was able to compute the dimension of Bir_d° . Our approach allows to prove his Conjecture 3 about the irreducible components of Bir_d° and corrects the wrong statement on the non connectedness of $\mathcal{H}_d$ and Bir_d° , in [33, Théorème 16, §6.2], for $d \geq 4$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. It is a pleasure to thank Ciro Ciliberto for the reference in Enriques–Chisini.

1. NOTATION, DEFINITIONS AND KNOWN RESULTS

We work over the complex field. Let $\mathbb{C}[x, y, z]_d$ be the set of homogeneous polynomials of degree d in the variables x, y, z with coefficients in $\mathbb{C}$, including the null polynomial. In particular, $\mathbb{C}[x, y, z]_d \cong \mathbb{C}^N$ as $\mathbb{C}$ -vector spaces, where

$$N = \binom{d+2}{2} = \frac{d(d+3)}{2} + 1$$

is the number of coefficients of homogeneous polynomials of degree d in 3 unknowns.

Whenever $f_1, f_2, f_3 \in \mathbb{C}[x, y, z]_d$ are not all zero, we denote by $[f_1 : f_2 : f_3]$ the rational map $\mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ written as

$$[x : y : z] \mapsto [f_1(x, y, z) : f_2(x, y, z) : f_3(x, y, z)].$$

If this map $[f_1 : f_2 : f_3]$ is *birational*, i.e. an automorphism on a Zariski open dense subset of $\mathbb{P}^2$, we say, according to [5], that this map is an element of

$$\text{Bir}_d = \{ \text{birational maps } [f_1 : f_2 : f_3] : \mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2 \} \subset \mathbb{P}^{3N-1=3d(d+3)/2+2},$$

where the homogeneous coordinates in $\mathbb{P}^{3N-1}$ are the coefficients of all three polynomials f_1, f_2, f_3 of degree d , up to multiplication by the same nonzero scalar for all of them.

In [5], the integer d is called the *degree* of the birational map $[f_1 : f_2 : f_3]$. However, in algebraic geometry, if f_1, f_2, f_3 have a common factor, i.e. their greatest common divisor $\gcd(f_1, f_2, f_3) = h$ is a polynomial of positive degree d' , then the degree of the map $[f_1 : f_2 : f_3]$ is usually considered as $d - d'$. If $\gcd(f_1, f_2, f_3) = 1$, the authors of [5] say that $[f_1 : f_2 : f_3]$ has *pure degree* d and they define

$$\text{Bir}_d^\circ = \{ \text{birational maps } [f_1 : f_2 : f_3] \text{ of pure degree } d \} \subset \text{Bir}_d \subset \mathbb{P}^{3N-1}.$$

One may check that the condition that $\deg(\gcd(f_1, f_2, f_3)) > 0$ is Zariski closed in $\mathbb{P}^{3N-1}$, e.g. by using resultants.

Remark 7. When $d = 1$, write the 3×3 nonzero matrix (a_{ij}) , whose rows are the coefficients of f_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$, thus $\text{Bir}_1^\circ = \text{Bir}_1 = \mathbb{P}\text{GL}(3)$ is the Zariski open subset of $\mathbb{P}^8$ where the determinant $\det(a_{ij})$ is nonzero and its Zariski closure is $\overline{\text{Bir}}_1 = \mathbb{P}^8$.

Recall that $\mathbb{P}^8 \setminus \text{Bir}_1 = Z(\det(a_{ij}))$ is a cubic, irreducible hypersurface, which is singular along the locus where $\text{rank}(a_{ij}) = 1$.

In [5], Cerveau and Deserti are interested in Bir_d also for applications to the study of *foliations*. Among other things, they prove the following:

Theorem 8 (Cerveau-Deserti). *If $d = 2$, the subset Bir_2° [Bir_2 , resp.] in $\mathbb{P}^{17}$ is a smooth [singular, resp.], unirational, irreducible, quasi-projective variety of dimension 14. Their Zariski closures in $\mathbb{P}^{17}$ coincide.*

If $d = 3$, the subset $\text{Bir}_3^\circ \subset \mathbb{P}^{29}$ is an irreducible, rationally connected, quasi-projective variety of dimension 18. Furthermore, the subset $\text{Bir}_3 \subset \mathbb{P}^{29}$ is singular and contains at least two irreducible components. $\square$

Actually, in [5], the authors state that Bir_3 has exactly two irreducible components. We will see later, in Example 46, that Bir_3 has exactly three irreducible components.

Now we recall the classical notions which will be used later.

Definition 9. Let $\gamma = [f_1 : f_2 : f_3] \in \text{Bir}_d^\circ$, $d \geq 2$. Setting W the linear span $\langle f_1, f_2, f_3 \rangle$ of the polynomials f_1, f_2, f_3 in $\mathbb{C}^N$, the plane $\mathbb{P}(W) \subset \mathbb{P}^{N-1}$ is called the *homaloidal net* associated to γ and we denote it by $\mathcal{L}_\gamma$.

The general element of $\mathcal{L}_\gamma$ defines an irreducible rational plane curve of degree d passing through some fixed points in $\mathbb{P}^2$, called *set-theoretic base points* of $\mathcal{L}_\gamma$, with certain multiplicities. Let $S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ be the birational morphism which is the composition of the blowing up at these points. The strict transform of the general element of $\mathcal{L}_\gamma$ may have further base points on the exceptional curves in S , classically called *infinitely near* base points, cf. §2 in [2]. Eventually, there is a birational morphism $S' \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ such that the strict transform of the net $\mathcal{L}_\gamma$ is a complete 2-dimensional linear system on S' .

Set ν_i the number of base points of $\mathcal{L}_\gamma$ with multiplicity i , $i = 1, \dots, d-1$, including infinitely near base points. The *multi-index* of the homaloidal net $\mathcal{L}_\gamma$ is

$$\nu_I = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_{d-2}, \nu_{d-1}). \quad (4)$$

It is an easy consequence of the definition of homaloidal net (cf., e.g., §2.5 in [2]) that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} i^2 \nu_i = d^2 - 1, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} i \nu_i = 3(d-1), \quad (5)$$

and $\nu_i = 0$, $i \geq d$. Formulas (5) are usually called Noether's Equations.

We say that the multi-index ν_I is the *H-type* of the homaloidal net $\mathcal{L}_\gamma$ and of the Cremona transformation γ .

One usually says that γ is *symmetric* if its H-type has a unique nonzero ν_i . By (5), γ is symmetric if and only if either $d = 2$ and $\nu_I = (3)$, or $d = 5$ and $\nu_I = (0, 6, 0, 0)$, or $d = 8$ and $\nu_I = (0, 0, 7, 0, \dots)$, or $d = 17$ and the unique nonzero term in ν_I is $\nu_6 = 8$ (cf., e.g., Lemma 2.5.5 in [2]).

Furthermore, we say that the *index* $i(\gamma)$ of γ is the minimum number of blowing ups such that the strict transform $\mathcal{L}'_\gamma$ of $\mathcal{L}_\gamma$ is a net with no infinitely near base point, in particular the general element in $\mathcal{L}'_\gamma$ is a curve with at most ordinary singularities.

Remark 10. If $d \geq 3$, the irreducibility of the general member of $\mathcal{L}_\gamma$ implies that $\sum_{i>d/2} \nu_i \leq 1$, i.e. $\mathcal{L}_\gamma$ has at most one base point of multiplicity $> d/2$.

Remark 11. Usually, the H-type of a linear system $\mathcal{L}$ is encoded by listing the multiplicities m_i of the base points p_i of $\mathcal{L}$, which are commonly written in nonincreasing order, say $m_1 \geq m_2 \geq m_3 \geq \dots$, instead of a multi-index like ν_I . Given a multi-index $\nu_I = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_{d-1})$ of a homaloidal net $\mathcal{L}_\gamma$, the multiplicities of the base points of $\mathcal{L}_\gamma$, in nonincreasing order, can be computed as follows:

$$m_i = \max \left\{ j : \sum_{k \geq j} \nu_k > i - 1 \right\}, \quad \text{for each } i \geq 1. \quad (6)$$

Equivalently, set $m_1 = \max\{j : \nu_j \neq 0\}$ and then

$$\begin{aligned} m_1 &= m_2 = \dots = m_{R_1} > m_{R_1+1} = \max\{j < m_1 : \nu_j \neq 0\}, & R_1 &= \nu_{m_1}, \\ m_{R_1+1} &= \dots = m_{R_1+R_2} > m_{R_1+R_2+1} = \max\{j < m_{R_1+1} : \nu_j \neq 0\}, & R_2 &= \nu_{m_{R_1+1}}, \end{aligned}$$

and so on, until $m_R = \min\{j : \nu_j \neq 0\}$ with $R = \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \nu_i = R_1 + R_2 + \dots + R_s$, $s = |\{j : \nu_j \neq 0\}|$.

Definition 12. Let $\nu_I = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_{d-1})$ be a multi-index with non negative entries. We say that the positive numbers $m_1, \dots, m_r$, with $r = \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \nu_i$, computed in Remark 11 are the *multiplicities associated to ν_I* .

Definition 13. If $d = 2$, one says that $\gamma \in \text{Bir}_2^\circ$ is a *quadratic transformation*. By (5), its H-type is $\nu_I = (3)$, namely γ is defined by a homaloidal net of generically irreducible conics passing through three simple base points p_1, p_2, p_3 . We say that γ is a quadratic transformation *centered at p_1, p_2, p_3* .

Definition 14. The map $\gamma \in \text{Bir}_d^\circ$ is called a *De Jonquière transformation* if $\nu_{d-1} = 1$ and $d \geq 3$. Irreducibility and (5) then force $\nu_i = 0$, $2 \leq i \leq d-2$, and $\nu_1 = 2d-2$, hence the H-type of a De Jonquière of degree d is $(2d-2, 0, \dots, 0, 1)$.

A little work on Equations (5) proves the following (cf., e.g., Proposition 2.6.4 in [2]):

Theorem 15 (Noether's Inequality). *Let $\gamma \in \text{Bir}_d^\circ$, $d \geq 2$, and let $m_1 \geq m_2 \geq m_3$ the maximal multiplicities of the base points of the homaloidal net $\mathcal{L}_\gamma$. Then*

$$m_1 + m_2 + m_3 \geq d + 1. \quad (7)$$

Furthermore, equality holds if and only if γ is either symmetric or De Jonquière.

The next, classical, lemma is very important for our purposes and we give here a proof only for the reader's convenience.

Lemma 16 (cf. [27, p. 72]). *If $d \geq 3$, the maximal number of base points of a homaloidal net $\mathcal{L}_\gamma$ is $\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \nu_i = 2d-1$ and, in that case, γ is a De Jonquière transformation.*

Proof. In (5), multiply by d the second equation and then subtract the first one, thus

$$(d-1)(2d-1) = \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} i(d-i)\nu_i, \quad (8)$$

On the real interval $[1, d-1]$, the function $g(x) = x(d-x)$ has a maximum at $x = d/2$ and a minimum at $x = 1$ and at $x = d-1$, so $1(d-1) \leq g(x) \leq d^2/4$. Hence Equation (8) implies $2d-1 \geq \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \nu_i$. Equality holds if and only if $\nu_1 + \nu_{d-1} = 2d-1$ and $\nu_i = 0$, $i = 2, \dots, d-2$. Remark 10, Formulas (5) and the hypothesis $d \geq 3$ force $\nu_{d-1} = 1$. $\square$

Remark 17. In algebraic geometry, when a family of objects $\{X_q\}_{q \in \Sigma}$, like varieties, maps, etc., is parametrized by the points of an *irreducible* algebraic variety Σ , one usually says that the *general* object X has a certain property P if the subset of points $q \in \Sigma$, such that X_q has the property P , contains a Zariski open dense subset of Σ .

Later we will use finite sets of points in $\mathbb{P}^2$ and in its symmetric products.

Definition 18 (cf. [31]). The r -th symmetric product of $\mathbb{P}^2$, denoted by $\text{Sym}^r(\mathbb{P}^2)$, is the quotient $(\mathbb{P}^2)/\mathfrak{S}_r$ of the Cartesian product $(\mathbb{P}^2)^r$ under the action of the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_r$ permuting the factors. One has that $\text{Sym}^1(\mathbb{P}^2) = \mathbb{P}^2$, if $r = 1$, otherwise $\text{Sym}^r(\mathbb{P}^2)$ is a rational, singular, irreducible, projective variety of dimension $2r$. If $p_1, \dots, p_r \in \mathbb{P}^2$ are distinct points, then $\text{Sym}^r(\mathbb{P}^2)$ is smooth at the class of $(p_1, \dots, p_r)$.

A useful tool to take care of infinitely near points is the Hilbert scheme.

Definition 19 (cf. [17, 18, 29]). The Hilbert scheme $\text{Hilb}^r(\mathbb{P}^2)$ parametrizes zero-dimensional subschemes of $\mathbb{P}^2$ with *length* r , i.e. whose Hilbert polynomial has degree 0 and it is equal to r . It is a rational, smooth, irreducible, projective variety of dimension $2r$ and it is a desingularization of $\text{Sym}^r(\mathbb{P}^2)$.

We will often work with r points in general position in $\mathbb{P}^2$, that is in an open dense subset of either $\text{Hilb}^r(\mathbb{P}^2)$ or $\text{Sym}^r(\mathbb{P}^2)$. For this we give the following definitions.

Definition 20. Let d be a positive integer. A multi-index $\nu_I := (\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_{d-2}, \nu_{d-1})$ with *length* ρ and *reduced length* r is a $(d-1)$ -tuple of non negative integers with $r = \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \nu_i$ and $\rho = \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} i(i+1)\nu_i/2$. To a general point $[Z]$ in either $\text{Hilb}^r(\mathbb{P}^2)$ or $\text{Sym}^r(\mathbb{P}^2)$ we associate the zero scheme $[Z_{\nu_I}]$ in $\text{Hilb}^\rho(\mathbb{P}^2)$ with $(Z_{\nu_I})_{\text{red}} = Z$ and Z_{ν_I} given by the union of ν_i general points of multiplicity i , $i = 1, \dots, d-1$.

Remark 21. Note that $[Z_{\nu_I}]$ is not a general point of $\text{Hilb}^\rho(\mathbb{P}^2)$. This is the main motivation to introduce both length and reduced length. Equations (5) force the length ρ of ν_I to be uniquely determined by d , namely $\rho = (d+4)(d-1)/2$. For future reference, Z will usually be the set-theoretic base locus while Z_{ν_I} is the scheme-theoretic base locus of a homaloidal net.

Definition 22. We say that a multi-index $\nu_I = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_{d-2}, \nu_{d-1})$ is *admissible* if there is an element $[Z] \in \text{Hilb}^r(\mathbb{P}^2)$ such that the linear system $\Lambda_Z := |\mathcal{I}_{Z_{\nu_I}}(d)|$ is non empty, of the expected dimension

$$\dim \Lambda_Z = \binom{d+2}{2} - \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \frac{i(i+1)\nu_i}{2}$$

and the general element of Λ_Z is an irreducible curve. In such a case we say that Z is an *admissible cycle* associated to ν_I .

The following theorem is classical, cf., e.g., [16, V.II.20] and [2, Theorem 5.1.1].

Theorem 23. Fix a positive integer d and a multi-index ν_I . Assume that ν_I satisfies Equations (5). Let $[Z] \in \text{Hilb}^r(\mathbb{P}^2)$ be a point. Then ν_I and Z are admissible if and only if Λ_Z is a homaloidal net. $\square$

Remark 24. There are finitely many multi-indexes ν_I satisfying Equations (5), when d is fixed, but not all such multi-indexes are H-types, i.e. do give rise to homaloidal nets in Bir_d° . The first, classical, example is $(6, 0, 2, 0)$, $d = 5$. In this case the line through the two triple points is a fixed component of the linear system of 5-ics with the assigned multiplicities. The movable part of the net is given by elliptic quartic curves. In particular the point representing it in $\mathbb{P}^{62}$ is not in Bir_5 .

To get rid of this behaviour we proceed as follows, cf. Hudson's test in [28] and in [2].

Definition 25. Let $\nu_I = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_{d-1})$, $d \geq 2$, be a multi-index satisfying Equations (5). When $d = 2$, we say that $\nu_I = (3)$ is *1-irreducible*. Suppose then $d \geq 3$ and let $m_1 \geq m_2 \geq m_3$ be the maximal multiplicities associated to ν_I , cf. Definition 12.

We say that ν_I is *1-irreducible* if $m_1 + m_2 \leq d$. Let $d' = 2d - m_1 - m_2 - m_3$. By (5) and $d \geq 3$, the same proof of Noether's inequality (7) in [2] shows that $d > d' \geq 2$.

Now define a new multi-index $q(\nu_I) = (\nu'_1, \nu'_2, \dots, \nu'_{d'-2}, \nu'_{d'-1})$ by the following steps:

- for each $j = 1, 2, 3$, decrease ν_{m_j} by 1
(if $m_3 = m_2 = m_1$, this means to decrease ν_{m_1} by 3);
- set $\varepsilon = d - m_1 - m_2 - m_3$;
- for each $j = 1, 2, 3$, set $k = m_j + \varepsilon$ and, if $k > 0$, increase ν_k by 1;
- finally, for each $i = 1, \dots, d' - 1$, set $\nu'_i = \nu_i$.

We say that ν_I is *irreducible* if ν_I is 1-irreducible, $q(\nu_I)$ is 1-irreducible, $q(q(\nu_I))$ is 1-irreducible, and so on, for all new multi-indexes until one stops, when d becomes 2. A script, which runs this irreducibility test, is listed in the appendix.

Remark 26. If ν_I is the H-type of a Cremona transformation $\gamma \in \text{Bir}_d^\circ$, and the maximal multiplicities $m_1 \geq m_2 \geq m_3$ of the base points of the homaloidal net $\mathcal{L}_\gamma$ occur at three points p_1, p_2, p_3 such that a quadratic transformation centered at p_1, p_2, p_3 is well-defined, then $q(\nu_I)$ is just the H-type of $\omega \circ \gamma \in \text{Bir}_{d'}^\circ$.

Setting $p_4, \dots, p_r$ the other base points of $\mathcal{L}_\gamma$, with respective multiplicities $m_4 \geq \dots \geq m_r$, one has (cf., e.g., Corollary 4.2.6 in [2]) that the multiplicities of the homaloidal net of $\omega \circ \gamma$ at the points corresponding via ω to $p_1, \dots, p_r$ are respectively $m'_1, m'_2, \dots, m'_r$ where

$$m'_i = m_i - \varepsilon, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \quad \varepsilon = m_1 + m_2 + m_3 - d, \quad m'_j = m_j, \quad j \geq 4,$$

Note that $d' = d - \varepsilon$, $m'_1 = d - m_2 - m_3$, $m'_2 = d - m_1 - m_3$ and $m'_3 = d - m_1 - m_2$.

The same formulas hold even if m_1, m_2, m_3 are not the maximal multiplicities of the base points of $\mathcal{L}_\gamma$. Note that $\varepsilon > 0$ if and only if $m_1 + m_2 + m_3 > d$.

The next theorem appears to be classical and it has been implicitly used by Hudson, but it had probably fallen into oblivion, cf. historical remark 5.3.6 in [2] and the references therein. For a modern proof, see Theorems 5.2.19 and 5.3.4 in [2].

Theorem 27. Fix an integer d and a multi-index ν_I which satisfies (5) and is irreducible, according to Definition 25. Then ν_I is admissible and there is an open dense subset $U_{\nu_I} \subset \text{Hilb}^r(\mathbb{P}^2)$, with $r = \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \nu_i$, such that for any point $[Z] \in U_{\nu_I}$, Z is associated to ν_I and the linear system Λ_Z is a homaloidal net. $\square$

We will use also planes, i.e. linear subspaces of dimension 2, in $\mathbb{P}^{N-1}$, or equivalently three-dimensional vector subspaces of $\mathbb{C}^N$. A convenient setting is Grassmannians.

Definition 28. Denote by $\text{Gr}(3, N)$ the Grassmannian variety parametrizing three-dimensional vector subspaces of $\mathbb{C}^N$, i.e. planes in $\mathbb{P}^{N-1}$. It is a smooth, irreducible, rational, projective variety of dimension $3(N - 3)$.

2. CREMONA TRANSFORMATIONS OF FIXED DEGREE

In this section we use notation introduced in §1. Fix d a positive integer.

Remark 29. For each $d \geq 2$, there is a one-to-one map

$$\text{Bir}_d \setminus \text{Bir}_d^\circ \rightarrow \prod_{a=1}^{d-1} (\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}[x, y, z]_a) \times \text{Bir}_{d-a}^\circ), \quad [f_1 : f_2 : f_3] \mapsto \left(h, \left[\frac{f_1}{h} : \frac{f_2}{h} : \frac{f_3}{h} \right] \right),$$

where $h = \gcd(f_1, f_2, f_3)$. The inverse is the collection of the maps

$$\tau_a : \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}[x, y, z]_a) \times \text{Bir}_{d-a}^\circ \rightarrow \text{Bir}_d, \quad \tau_a(h, [f_1 : f_2 : f_3]) = [hf_1 : hf_2 : hf_3], \quad (9)$$

which are injective, for each $a = 1, \dots, d - 1$.

Let us focus on $\gamma = [f_1 : f_2 : f_3] \in \text{Bir}_d^\circ$, in particular $\gcd(f_1, f_2, f_3) = 1$. Let $\mathcal{L}_\gamma = \mathbb{P}(\langle f_1, f_2, f_3 \rangle)$ be the homaloidal net associated to γ , recalled in §1.

Lemma 30. *The subsets Bir_d° and Bir_d in $\mathbb{P}^{3N-1}$ are quasi-projective varieties.*

Proof. Let V' be the subset of $\mathbb{P}^{3N-1}$ parametrizing dominant maps onto $\mathbb{P}^2$. The set V' is given by the non vanishing of the Jacobian and is therefore open and Zariski dense.

Take then $V = V' \cap V''$, where V'' is the Zariski open subset of $\mathbb{P}^{3N-1}$ given by the polynomials f_1, f_2, f_3 having no common factor, i.e. $\gcd(f_1, f_2, f_3) = 1$.

For each element $[f_1 : f_2 : f_3]$ in V , consider the base points $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_r$, including infinitely near ones, of the net $\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{P}(\langle f_1, f_2, f_3 \rangle)$ and let $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_r$ be their respective multiplicities. The conditions that $\sum_{i=1}^r m_i^2 = d^2 - 1$, that $\sum_{i=1}^r m_i = 3d - 3$, cf. Eq. (5), and that the general element of $\mathcal{L}$ is irreducible determine a Zariski closed subset in V .

Indeed, the irreducibility of the general element of $\mathcal{L}$ is equivalent to the conditions (cf. page 143 in [2]):

$$\begin{aligned} m_1 &\leq d - 1, \\ m_1 + m_2 &\leq d, \\ m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_5 &\leq 2d, \\ m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_9 &\leq 3d, \\ 2m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_7 &\leq 3d, \\ &\vdots \\ (\delta - 1)m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_{2\delta+1} &\leq \delta d, \quad \text{if } 2\delta + 1 \leq r. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that Bir_d° is a quasi-projective variety in $\mathbb{P}^{3N-1}$. By Remark 29 above, the same is true also for Bir_d . $\square$

Definition 31. We denote by “Bs” the map which sends a Cremona transformation $\gamma \in \text{Bir}_d^\circ$ to its base locus:

$$\text{Bs}: \text{Bir}_d^\circ \rightarrow \text{Hilb}(\mathbb{P}^2), \quad \gamma = [f_1 : f_2 : f_3] \mapsto \text{Bs}(\gamma) = Z(f_1, f_2, f_3), \quad (10)$$

where $\text{Bs}(\gamma) = Z(f_1, f_2, f_3)$ is a 0-dimensional subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^2$.

Remark 32. The map Bs is algebraic. To see this, fix an irreducible component $A \subset \text{Bir}_d^\circ$, take

$$I_c = \{([f_1 : f_2 : f_3], [Z]) \mid Z \subset Z(f_1, f_2, f_3)\} \subset A \times \text{Hilb}^c(\mathbb{P}^2),$$

and let p_1, p_2 be the projections on the two factors. By Equations (5), each homaloidal net in Bir_d° has the expected dimension. Hence, for each A there is a unique c such that $p_1^{-1}([f_0 : f_1 : f_2]) \cap I_c$ is a point. In this setting, $\text{Bs} = p_2 \circ p_1^{-1}$.

There is no inverse map to Bs in (10), because the map Bs is not injective. The definition of Bir_d° is such that two different basis of the same homaloidal net gives different elements in Bir_d° whilst have the same base locus. This is quite awkward, at least from the algebraic geometry point of view, but can be, somehow, settled as follows.

Lemma 33. *Let $\gamma = [f_1 : f_2 : f_3]$ and $\delta = [g_1 : g_2 : g_3]$ be in Bir_d° be such that $\text{Bs}(\gamma) = \text{Bs}(\delta)$. Then $\langle f_1, f_2, f_3 \rangle = \langle g_1, g_2, g_3 \rangle = W \subset \mathbb{C}^{d(d+3)/2+1}$, and there exists a unique change of basis matrix $\omega \in \text{PGL}(3)$ such that the triplet $(g_1, g_2, g_3) = (f_1, f_2, f_3)\omega$ in $W \cong \mathbb{C}^3$.*

Proof. Let $Z_\gamma = (\text{Bs}(\gamma))_{\text{red}} = (\text{Bs}(\delta))_{\text{red}}$ be the reduced base locus of γ and δ . Theorem 23 says that $\dim \Lambda_{Z_\gamma} = 2$ and thus $W = \langle f_1, f_2, f_3 \rangle \ni g_i, i = 1, 2, 3$. Hence, by definition, the f_i 's and the g_i 's are two bases of the 3-dimensional vector space $W \subset \mathbb{C}^{d(d+3)+1}$ and there is a unique change of basis ω sending one to the other. To conclude, observe that $[f_1 : f_2 : f_3]$ and $[\lambda f_1 : \lambda f_2 : \lambda f_3]$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$, represent the same element in Bir_d° . $\square$

The previous lemma suggests how to change the target space in (10) in order to get a birational map. For this purpose, we construct suitable morphisms.

Fix a positive integer d and an irreducible (according to Definition 25) multi-index ν_I , satisfying (5). By Theorem 27 there is a dense open subset $U_{\nu_I} \subset \text{Hilb}^r(\mathbb{P}^2)$ made of admissible cycles associated to ν_I . Let us define the map

$$\beta_{\nu_I} : U_{\nu_I} \rightarrow \text{Gr}(3, N), \quad \beta_{\nu_I}([Z]) = [H^0(\mathcal{I}_{Z_{\nu_I}}(d))], \quad (11)$$

which sends a collection of points $Z = \bigcup_{i=1}^{d-1} \bigcup_{j=1}^{\nu_i} p_{i,j}$ in general position in $\mathbb{P}^2$ to the homaloidal net of plane curves of degree d with multiplicity i , $i = 1, \dots, d-1$, at the points $p_{i,j}$, $j = 1, \dots, \nu_i$.

Remark 34. It is important to stress that the base locus of a homaloidal net associated to a multi-index ν_I is exactly an admissible cycle associated to ν_I . Vice-versa an admissible cycle determines uniquely the homaloidal net.

This, together with Lemma 33, yields that the morphism β_{ν_I} is a birational map onto its image in the Grassmannian $\text{Gr}(3, N)$ of planes in $\mathbb{P}^{N-1} = \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}[x, y, z]_d)$.

Next we want to go from the Grassmannian to Bir_d° . This is done by distinguishing a base in the general point of the image of β_{ν_I} . To do this, choose three general $N-3$ planes H_1, H_2, H_3 in $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}[x, y, z]_d) = \mathbb{P}^{N-1}$, e.g. we may choose

$$H_1 = \langle y^d, y^{d-1}z \rangle^\perp, \quad H_2 = \langle z^d, z^{d-1}x \rangle^\perp, \quad H_3 = \langle x^d, x^{d-1}y \rangle^\perp.$$

This allows us to universally choose the basis $W \cap H_1, W \cap H_2, W \cap H_3$ for a general 3-dimensional linear vector subspace $W \subset \mathbb{C}^N$. In other words we have chosen three sections $\sigma_i : \text{Gr}(3, N) \rightarrow U$ of the universal bundle over the Grassmannian $\text{Gr}(3, N)$.

Definition 35. In the above setting, define the map

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{\nu_I} : \mathbb{PGL}(3) \times U_{\nu_I} &\rightarrow \text{Bir}_d^\circ, \\ (\omega, [Z]) &\mapsto [\omega(\sigma_1(\beta_{\nu_I}([Z]))) : \omega(\sigma_2(\beta_{\nu_I}([Z]))) : \omega(\sigma_3(\beta_{\nu_I}([Z])))], \end{aligned}$$

where $\omega \in \mathbb{PGL}(3)$ is acting on the 3-dimensional vector subspace $W \subset \mathbb{C}^N$ as described in Lemma 33. The map α_{ν_I} is well-defined, in fact $\alpha_{\nu_I}(\lambda\omega, [Z]) = \alpha_{\nu_I}(\omega, [Z])$, for any $\omega \in \mathbb{PGL}(3)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$.

Lemma 36. *The map α_{ν_I} is birational onto its image.*

Proof. It is enough to prove that α_{ν_I} is generically injective. But this follows immediately by Lemma 33 and Remark 34. $\square$

Lemma 37. *Let $\nu_I = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_{d-1})$ and $\mu_I = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{d-1})$ be two distinct admissible multi-indexes. Then $\text{Im}(\alpha_{\nu_I})$ and $\text{Im}(\alpha_{\mu_I})$ lie in two different components of Bir_d° .*

Proof. Let r and m be the two reduced lengths of ν_I and μ_I , respectively. If $r = m$ we conclude again by Remark 34. Assume that $r > m$. Then we have to prove that $\text{Im}(\alpha_{\nu_I}) \not\supset \text{Im}(\alpha_{\mu_I})$. This is equivalent to say that the base locus of a general element in $\text{Im}(\alpha_{\mu_I})$ cannot be obtained as limit of base loci of general elements in $\text{Im}(\alpha_{\nu_I})$.

Assume that this is not the case. Then in $\text{Hilb}^r(\mathbb{P}^2)$ there is a curve whose general point represents the base locus of an element in $\text{Im}(\alpha_{\nu_I})$ and with a special point associated to Z_{μ_I} . In other word we are saying that a bunch of points of multiplicity $m_{i,1}, \dots, m_{i,h_i}$, with ordinary singularities, limit to a point of some multiplicity m_i , with ordinary singularity, and this is done in such a way that Noether's equations (5) are always satisfied.

Fix one point in the limit, say p_1 of multiplicity m_1 , and assume that p_1 is the limit of $\{q_1, \dots, q_{h_1}\}$ of respective multiplicity $m_{1,j}$. The existence of the limit forces

$$\frac{m_1(m_1 + 1)}{2} = \sum_j \frac{m_{1,j}(m_{1,j} + 1)}{2}, \quad \text{in particular} \quad m_1 \leq \sum_j m_{1,j},$$

with strict inequality if $h_1 > 1$. This, together with Equations (5) yields

$$d^2 - 1 = \sum_i m_i^2 = \sum_i \left(\sum_j (m_{i,j}^2 + m_{i,j}) - m_i \right) = d^2 - 1 + \sum_i \left(\sum_j m_{i,j} \right) - m_i$$

hence we have the contradiction $\sum_i (\sum_j m_{i,j}) - m_i = 0$. $\square$

In the previous lemmata, we identified some irreducible components of Bir_d° . In the next lemma, we show that we identified them all.

Lemma 38. *Let $\gamma \in \text{Bir}_d^\circ$ be a birational transformation, $Z^\gamma = \text{Bs}(\gamma)$ its base locus and $\nu_I = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_{d-1})$ the corresponding H-type. Setting ρ the length of ν_I and r its reduced length, there is a curve $C \in \text{Hilb}^\rho(\mathbb{P}^2)$ such that*

- (i) $[Z^\gamma] \in C$,
- (ii) the general point $[Z_t] \in C$ is a zero-dimensional scheme supported on r distinct points, with ordinary singularities, in $\mathbb{P}^2$,
- (iii) Z_t is admissible.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{L}_\gamma \subset |\mathcal{O}(d)|$ be the homaloidal net associated to Z^γ . Consider the index $i(\gamma)$ introduced in Definition 9. If $i(\gamma) = 0$, the assertion is immediate, for any degree d . To conclude we argue by induction on $i(\gamma)$. Assume that $i(\gamma) = M > 0$, and let $p \in Z_{\text{red}}$ a point of multiplicity m with infinitely near other base points. Let $\omega : \mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ be a quadratic transformation centered in p and two general points q_1 and q_2 , and $\mathcal{L}' = \omega_* \mathcal{L}_\gamma$ the strict transform linear system. Then $\mathcal{L}' \subset |\mathcal{O}(2d - m)|$ is a homaloidal net defining $\gamma' = \omega \circ \gamma$. By construction, $i(\gamma') \leq i(\gamma) - 1$ and by inductive hypothesis we may describe its base locus $Z^{\gamma'} = \text{Bs } \mathcal{L}'$ as limit of admissible cycles with two ordinary points of multiplicity d . Then applying ω^{-1} we get the desired curve in $\text{Hilb}^\rho(\mathbb{P}^2)$. $\square$

Definition 39. Let $\nu_I = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_{d-1})$ be an admissible multi-index. We denote by $\text{Bir}_{\nu_I}^\circ$ the irreducible component of Bir_d° whose general element is defined by a homaloidal net of H-type ν_I . Moreover, we denote by Bir_{ν_I} the intersection of the Zariski closure of $\text{Bir}_{\nu_I}^\circ$ in $\mathbb{P}^{3N-1}$ with Bir_d .

Remark 40. It is important to stress that a homaloidal net may degenerate to a linear system with a fixed component in such a way that the residual part is not a homaloidal net. In particular the Zariski closure of $\text{Bir}_{\nu_I}^\circ$ in $\mathbb{P}^{3N-1}$ is not contained in Bir_d . The easiest occurrences of this behaviour are as follows.

Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a general homaloidal net in $\text{Bir}_{(4,1)}^\circ$ given by plane cubics with a double point p_0 and four simple base points $p_1, \dots, p_4$. If we let $p_1, \dots, p_4$ become aligned, then $\mathcal{L}$ degenerates to a net with a fixed line and the residual part is composed with the pencil of lines through p_0 .

Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a general homaloidal net in $\text{Bir}_{(3,3,0)}^\circ$ given by plane quartics with three double points p_1, p_2, p_3 and three simple base points. If we let p_1, p_2, p_3 become aligned, then $\mathcal{L}$ degenerates to a net with a fixed line and the residual part is a 3-dimensional linear system of cubics with six simple base points.

The next lemma is classical, see e.g. [28, p. 73], but the proof therein is not complete.

Lemma 41. *If $d \geq 4$ and $\gamma \in \text{Bir}_d^\circ$ is not a De Jonquières transformation, then the number of base points of the homaloidal net $\mathcal{L}_\gamma$ is at most $d + 2$.*

Proof. By Lemma 38 (cf. also Theorems 23 and 27), the H-type ν_I of γ , and hence the number $r = \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \nu_i$ of base points $\mathcal{L}_\gamma$, does not depend on the position of the points in $\mathbb{P}^2$. Therefore, we may and will assume that these base points are in general position, in such a way that γ can be factored as a composition of quadratic transformations, centered at base points of $\mathcal{L}$ only, each one decreasing the degree of γ .

Now we proceed by induction on the degree d of γ .

The base of induction is $d = 4$. In this case there is only one possible H-type, which is $(3, 3, 0)$, i.e. six base points and the assertion is trivially true.

Suppose then that $d > 4$. We set $m_1, m_2, m_3, \tilde{d}, \varepsilon, \mu_i$ according to Definition 25, in particular $\tilde{d} = 2d - m_1 - m_2 - m_3 = d + \varepsilon$. Recall that $r = \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \nu_i$ and set $\tilde{r} = \sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{d}-1} \mu_i$.

Assume first that μ_I is the H-type of a De Jonquière, namely $\mu_I = (2\tilde{d} - 2, 0, \dots, 0, 1)$ and $\tilde{r} = 2\tilde{d} - 1$. Since ν_I is not the H-type of a De Jonquière and $\tilde{d} < d$, it follows that ν_I is obtained from μ_I by performing a quadratic transformation centered at points of multiplicity $e_j \leq 1$, say $1 \geq e_1 \geq e_2 \geq e_3 \geq 0$. On the other hand, the point with multiplicity e_3 for μ_I would have multiplicity $m_3 = e_3 + c = \tilde{d} - e_1 - e_2$ for ν_I , that still have the point of multiplicity $\tilde{d} - 1$ corresponding to the highest multiplicity point of μ_I . Since m_1, m_2, m_3 are chosen to be the highest multiplicities, this is possible only if $e_2 = e_3 = 0$. It follows that $d = 2\tilde{d} - e_1$, $m_1 = \tilde{d}$ and $m_2 = m_3 = \tilde{d} - e_1$, hence $\tilde{r} = 2\tilde{d} - 1 = d + e_1 - 1$ and $r = \tilde{r} + 3 - e_1 = d + 2$, where r is the number of base points of $\mathcal{L}_\gamma$, that is the assertion.

Now we may and will assume that μ_I is not the H-type of a De Jonquière, so the inductive hypothesis says that $\tilde{r} \leq \tilde{d} + 2$.

The construction of the μ_i implies that $r \leq \tilde{r} + 3$ and one sees that equality holds if and only if $m_1 = m_2 = m_3 = -\varepsilon = d/2 = \tilde{d}$. Since $d > 4$, one has $\tilde{r} \leq \tilde{d} + 2 = d/2 + 2 \leq d - 1$ and therefore $r = \tilde{r} + 3 \leq d + 2$, that is the assertion.

Assume then $r \leq \tilde{r} + 2$: one sees that equality holds if and only if $m_1 = \tilde{d} > m_2 = m_3 = -\varepsilon$ and $d = m_1 + m_2$. Since μ_I is not a De Jonquière, one has $\tilde{d} = m_1 \leq d - 2$ and therefore $r \leq \tilde{r} + 2 \leq \tilde{d} + 4 \leq d + 2$, which is the assertion.

Finally, the remaining case is $r \leq \tilde{r} + 1$. Since $\tilde{d} \leq d - 1$, one has

$$r \leq \tilde{r} + 1 \leq \tilde{d} + 3 \leq d + 2,$$

which concludes the proof of this lemma. $\square$

We are finally ready for the proof of Theorem 1 in the introduction.

Proof of Theorem 1. Fix an integer $d \geq 2$. By Lemma 30, Bir_d° is a quasi-projective variety. By Lemma 38, each irreducible component $\text{Bir}_{\nu_I}^\circ$ of Bir_d° is determined by an admissible and irreducible multi-index $\nu_I = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_{d-1})$ satisfying Equations (5). By Lemma 36, $\text{Bir}_{\nu_I}^\circ$ is rational and it has dimension $8 + \dim U_{\nu_I} = 8 + 2r$, where $r = \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \nu_i$ is the reduced length of ν_I . By Lemma 16, the maximum r is $2d - 1$ and it occurs for $\nu_I = (2d - 2, 0, \dots, 0, 1)$, which gives an irreducible component of dimension $8 + 2(2d - 1) = 4d + 6$, whose elements are De Jonquière transformations. By Lemma 41, when $d \geq 4$, the other irreducible components have dimension at most $8 + 2(d + 2) = 2d + 12$. $\square$

Definition 42. For any fixed positive integers d and $a < d$ let

$$\text{Bir}_d^a = \mathbb{P}^{\binom{a+2}{2}-1} \times \text{Bir}_{d-a}.$$

As already observed in Remark 29, there is a natural inclusion of Bir_d^a into Bir_d . For this we often identify Bir_d^a with its image in Bir_d .

Remark 43. For integers $a < b < d$ we have, with natural identifications,

$$\text{Bir}_d^a \cap \text{Bir}_d^b = \mathbb{P}^{\binom{a+2}{2}-1} \times \text{Bir}_{d-a}^{b-a}.$$

Remark 44. When $0 < a < b < d$, the general element $[f_1 : f_2 : f_3]$ of $\tau_b(\text{Bir}_{d-b}^\circ) \subset \text{Bir}_d$ cannot be the limit of elements in $\tau_a(\text{Bir}_{d-a}^\circ) \subset \text{Bir}_d$ because $\gcd(f_1, f_2, f_3)$ is an irreducible polynomial of degree $b > a$.

We are able to completely describe the behaviour of these varieties in low degrees.

Example 45. By Theorem 1, $\text{Bir}_2^\circ = \text{Bir}_{(3)}^\circ$ is irreducible of dimension 14. By Remark 29, $\text{Bir}_2 = \text{Bir}_{(3)}^\circ \cup \text{Bir}_2^1$. A general element γ in $\text{Bir}_{(3)}^\circ$ is given by a homaloidal net of conics with three distinct base points p_1, p_2, p_3 . If we let p_3 move to a general point of the line through p_1 and p_2 , the line splits from the net and we get a degeneration of γ to an element in Bir_2^1 . Since each element in Bir_2^1 can be obtained in this way, Bir_2 is irreducible.

Example 46. Again by Theorem 1 and Remark 29, $\text{Bir}_3^\circ = \text{Bir}_{(4,1)}^\circ$ is irreducible of dimension 18 and $\text{Bir}_3 = \text{Bir}_{(4,1)}^\circ \cup \text{Bir}_3^1 \cup \text{Bir}_3^2$, where $\text{Bir}_3^2 = \tau_2(\text{Bir}_1^\circ)$ has dimension 13 and Bir_3^1 contains $\tau_1(\text{Bir}_2^\circ)$, which has dimension 16.

We claim that Bir_3 has three irreducible components and it is connected.

By Remark 43, $\text{Bir}_3^1 \cap \text{Bir}_3^2 = \mathbb{P}^2 \times \text{Bir}_2^1$ has dimension 12. On the other hand, a general element γ in $\text{Bir}_{(4,1)}^\circ$ is given by a homaloidal net of cubics with a double point p and four simple base points $q_1, \dots, q_4$. If we let q_2 move to a general point of the line through p and q_1 , the line splits from the net and we get a degeneration of γ to an element in Bir_3^1 . This shows that Bir_3 is connected.

Note that any degeneration of an element in Bir_3° has to contain a double point. Linear systems of conics with a double point are homaloidal only in the presence of a fixed component. Then the only possible degenerations of Bir_3° are either a pair of fixed lines together with the linear system of lines or a fixed line, say l , and a linear system of conics with a base point on l . However, the general element in either Bir_3^1 or Bir_3^2 is such that there is no base point, of the mobile part, lying on the fixed component and therefore it cannot be obtained as a limit of elements in Bir_3° . This means that Bir_3^1 and Bir_3^2 give two further irreducible components, other than $\text{Bir}_{(4,1)}^\circ$. In [5], it seems that the authors missed the component Bir_3^2 in Bir_3 .

Example 47. By Theorem 1, Bir_4° has two irreducible components $\text{Bir}_{(6,0,1)}^\circ$ and $\text{Bir}_{(3,3,0)}^\circ$, having dimension respectively 22 and 20.

Reasoning as in the previous examples, one may check that Bir_4 is connected (we will prove it in Theorem 57 later) and its decomposition in irreducible components is

$$\text{Bir}_4 = \text{Bir}_{(3,3,0)}^\circ \cup \text{Bir}_{(6,0,1)}^\circ \cup \text{Bir}_4^1 \cup \text{Bir}_4^2 \cup \text{Bir}_4^3,$$

where the last three components have dimension respectively 17, 19 and 20.

To study the connectedness of Bir_d° we need to understand degenerations of base loci of homaloidal systems. This is an hard task and almost nothing is known. The only example we are aware of is the one stated in [16, V.III.25, pg. 231] of a quartic curve with three double points degenerating to a quartic with a triple point. This suggests that the component of Bir_4° associated to the multi-index $(3, 3, 0)$ intersects the component of De Jonquières.

Example 48. Take the linear system of quartic curves with three double base points at $p_1 = [0, 0, 1]$, $p_2 = [t, 0, 1]$, $p_3 = [0, t, 1]$, $t \neq 0$. Its affine equation is

$$\begin{aligned} & a_0 x^4 + a_1 x^3 y + a_2 x^2 y^2 + a_4 x y^3 + a_5 y^4 - 2 a_0 t x^3 + a_3 x^2 y + (-a_4 t + a_1 t + a_3) x y^2 + \\ & - 2 a_5 t y^3 + a_0 t^2 x^2 + (-a_1 t^2 - t a_3) x y + a_5 t^2 y^2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

For $t = 0$, this is a linear system of quartics, whose general member is irreducible, with a triple base point at $[0, 0, 1]$ and three infinitely near simple base points in the direction of the lines $x = 0$, $y = 0$ and $x + y = 0$, which are the limits of the lines $\overline{p_1 p_3} : x = 0$, $\overline{p_1 p_2} : y = 0$ and $\overline{p_2 p_3} : x + y - tz = 0$.

By imposing further three simple base points p_4, p_5, p_6 in general position, one gets a homaloidal net of type $(3, 3, 0)$ for $t \neq 0$ and a homaloidal net of type $(6, 0, 1)$ for $t = 0$. E.g., we choose $p_4 = [1, -2, 1]$, $p_5 = [-2, 1, 1]$ and $p_6 = [2, 3, 1]$ and we get the following Cremona transformation, for $t = 1$,

$$\left[(3x^3 - 6x^2z + 80xyz - 107xyz + 3xz^2 - 9y^3 - 98y^2z + 107yz^2) x \right.$$

$$\begin{aligned} &: -3 \left(-x^2 + 10xy - 12xz - y^2 - 12yz + 13z^2 \right) xy \\ &: 3 \left(-y + z \right) \left(12x^2 - 3xy - 12xz - y^2 + yz \right) y \end{aligned}$$

which has the following inverse map

$$\begin{aligned} &[- \left(-36x^2 - 243xy + 42xz - 396y^2 + 116yz \right) \left(-36xy + 39xz - 99y^2 + 107yz \right) \\ &: \left(-36xy + 39xz - 99y^2 + 107yz \right) \left(36xy - 42xz + 99y^2 - 125yz + 10z^2 \right) \\ &: -108x^3z - 1296x^2y^2 + 1539x^2yz - 1152x^2z^2 - 7128xy^3 + 10809xy^2z + \\ &- 6195xyz^2 - 30xz^3 - 9801y^4 + 15840y^3z - 8317y^2z^2 - 90yz^3] \end{aligned}$$

while, for $t = 0$, we get the following De Jonquière transformation

$$\begin{aligned} &[- \left(12x^3 - 217xy^2 + 308xyz - 30y^3 + 308y^2z \right) x : 6 \left(-2x^2 - 19xy + 28xz + \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 2y^2 + 28yz \right) xy : 6 \left(-23x^2y + 42x^2z - 5xy^2 + 42xyz - 2y^3 \right) y] \end{aligned}$$

which has the following inverse map

$$\begin{aligned} &[- 7 \left(6x + 11y \right)^2 \left(-3y + 2z \right) \left(-6x - 17y + 4z \right) : 14 \left(-6x - 17y + 4z \right) \left(6x + 11y \right) \cdot \\ &\quad \left(-3y + 2z \right)^2 : 216x^3z - 2484x^2y^2 + 4896x^2yz - 1368x^2z^2 - 9648xy^3 + \\ &\quad 16710xy^2z - 5544xyz^2 + 96xz^3 - 9555y^4 + 15942y^3z - 5854y^2z^2 + 240yz^3] \end{aligned}$$

These computations have been performed by using Maple.

Proposition 49. $\text{Bir}_4^\circ = \text{Bir}_{(6,0,1)}^\circ \cup \text{Bir}_{(3,3,0)}^\circ$ is connected, $\dim(\text{Bir}_{(6,0,1)}^\circ \cap \text{Bir}_{(3,3,0)}^\circ) = 19$.

Proof. The connectedness follows from Example 48, which shows that the general element in $\text{Bir}_{(3,3,0)}^\circ$ may degenerate to a special element in the component $\text{Bir}_{(6,0,1)}^\circ$ of De Jonquière. The general choice of the base points of such De Jonquière is one triple point in the plane, three infinitely near simple points and further three simple points in the plane. Thus it lies in an open subset of $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \text{Sym}^3 \mathbb{P}^1 \times \text{Sym}^3 \mathbb{P}^2$, that has dimension 11, plus 8 dimensions for the action of $\text{PGL}(3)$ on the homaloidal net, cf. Lemma 33. $\square$

Proposition 50. $\text{Bir}_5^\circ = \text{Bir}_{(8,0,0,1)}^\circ \cup \text{Bir}_{(3,3,1,0)}^\circ \cup \text{Bir}_{(0,6,0,0)}^\circ$ is connected.

Proof. The decomposition of Bir_5° in three irreducible components $\text{Bir}_{(8,0,0,1)}^\circ$, $\text{Bir}_{(3,3,1,0)}^\circ$ and $\text{Bir}_{(0,6,0,0)}^\circ$, having dimension respectively 26, 22 and 20, follows from Theorem 1.

One has $\text{Bir}_{(3,3,1,0)}^\circ \cap \text{Bir}_{(0,6,0,0)}^\circ \neq \emptyset$ for the same reason of the previous proposition, namely that a linear system of quintics with three double base points may degenerate to a linear system of quintics with a triple base point and three infinitely near simple base points. Using notation of Example 48, one gets such a degeneration just by applying a quadratic transformation centered at p_4, p_5, p_6 to the degeneration of the linear system of quartics.

In order to prove the connectedness of Bir_5° , it is enough to show, with an example, that $\text{Bir}_{(8,0,0,1)}^\circ \cap \text{Bir}_{(3,3,1,0)}^\circ \neq \emptyset$. We remark that, if we make collide a triple point and three double points, in general we get a quintuple point, not a quadruple one. Thus we perform a special degeneration: we take the linear system $\mathcal{L}$ of quintics with an *oscnode* at $[0, 0, 1]$, along the direction of the conic $xz + y^2 = 0$, and a triple point at $[t, 0, 1]$, when $t \neq 0$. The affine equation of $\mathcal{L}$ is:

$$\begin{aligned} &a_0x^5 + a_1x^4y + a_2x^3y^2 + a_3x^2y^3 + a_4xy^4 + a_5y^5 + \\ &- 3a_0tx^4 - 2a_1tx^3y + (2a_0t^2 - a_2t)x^2y^2 + (a_1t^2 + a_5)xy^3 + \\ &- a_0t^3y^4 + 3a_0t^2x^3 + a_1t^2x^2y - 2a_0t^3xy^2 - a_0t^3x^2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

When $t = 0$, we get a linear system of quintics with a quadruple point whose general member is irreducible. By imposing further three simple base points in general position, we get a homaloidal net $\subset \mathcal{L}$ of type $(3, 3, 1, 0)$ which degenerates to a homaloidal net

defining a De Jonquière transformation. E.g., if we choose $[1, 1, 1]$, $[1, -1, 1]$ and $[2, 1, 1]$ as simple base points, we get the following Cremona transformation, for $t = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & [10x^2y^2z + 9xy^3z - 18y^3x^2 + 5y^4x + 9y^5 + 5x^5 - 10xy^2z^2 + 15x^3z^2 - 15x^4z + \\ & - 5x^2z^3 - 5y^4z : y(7y^2xz + 2y^4 - 9y^2x^2 + 5x^4 - 10x^3z + 5x^2z^2) \\ & : y^2(4y^3 + 4yxz - 5x^2z - 8yx^2 + 5x^3)] \end{aligned}$$

while, for $t = 0$, we get the De Jonquière transformation

$$\begin{aligned} & [-60y^3x^2 - 5y^4x + 30y^5 + 5x^5 + 30xy^3z : 12y^5 - 29y^3x^2 + 5yx^4 + 12xy^3z \\ & : 6y^5 - 5y^4x - 12y^3x^2 + 5y^2x^3 + 6xy^3z]. \end{aligned}$$

We are able to check the properties of these maps and to find their inverse maps by using Maple, in such a way we did in Example 48. $\square$

Proposition 51. $\text{Bir}_6^\circ = \text{Bir}_{(10,0,0,0,1)}^\circ \cup \text{Bir}_{(3,4,0,1,0)}^\circ \cup \text{Bir}_{(4,1,3,0,0)}^\circ \cup \text{Bir}_{(1,4,2,0,0)}^\circ$ is connected.

Proof. The decomposition of Bir_6° in four irreducible components follows from Theorem 1. The usual degeneration of three double base point to one triple point with infinitely near three simple base points implies that $\text{Bir}_{(4,1,3,0,0)}^\circ \cap \text{Bir}_{(1,4,2,0,0)}^\circ \neq \emptyset$. It can be obtained by that of Example 48 by applying a quadratic transformation centered at p_4 , p_5 and at a general point in the plane.

To conclude we show, with two examples, that

$$\text{Bir}_{(3,4,0,1,0)}^\circ \cap \text{Bir}_{(1,4,2,0,0)}^\circ \neq \emptyset \text{ and } \text{Bir}_{(3,4,0,1,0)}^\circ \cap \text{Bir}_{(10,0,0,0,1)}^\circ \neq \emptyset.$$

First example: take the linear system of sextics with a triple base point at $[0, 0, 1]$, with infinitely near a double base point in the direction of the line $x = 0$, and another triple base point at $[t, 0, 1]$, $t \neq 0$. When $t = 0$, we get a linear system of sextics with a quadruple base point with infinitely near a double base point. By imposing further three double base points and a simple base point, e.g. we choose $[1, 1, 1]$, $[-1, 1, 1]$, $[2, 1, 1]$ and $[2, -3, 1]$ respectively, we get a homaloidal net of type $(1, 4, 2, 0, 0)$ for $t \neq 0$ and a homaloidal net of type $(3, 4, 0, 1, 0)$ for $t = 0$. In particular, for $t = 1$, we get the map

$$\begin{aligned} & [27x^6 - 216y^6 - 81x^2yz^3 + 135x^3yz^2 - 108x^2y^2z^2 - 368xy^3z^2 - 27x^4yz + \\ & + 108x^3y^2z + 520xy^4z - 27x^3z^3 + 81x^4z^2 - 81x^5z + 324y^5z - 27x^5y - 260xy^5 \\ & : 3xy(-z + y)(-4y^3 + 22y^2z - 18xy^2 + 9xz^2 - 18x^2z + 9x^3) : 9y^2(-z + y) \cdot \\ & (6y^3 + 4xy^2 - 7yxz - 3x^2y + 3x^3 - 3x^2z)] \end{aligned}$$

and, for $t = 0$, we get the map

$$\begin{aligned} & [27x^6 - 540y^6 - 430xy^3z^2 + 54x^4yz + 216x^3y^2z - 702x^2y^3z + 644xy^4z + \\ & + 648y^5z - 108x^5y + 513x^2y^4 - 322xy^5 : 3y(-z + y)(36y^4 + 20xy^3 + \\ & - 20y^2xz - 45x^2y^2 + 9x^4) : 9y^2(-z + y)(6y^3 + 2xy^2 - 5yxz - 6x^2y + 3x^3)]. \end{aligned}$$

Second example: take the linear systems of sextics with a double base point at $[0, 0, 1]$ with other three infinitely near double base points, each one infinitely near to the previous one, along the conic $xz + y^2 = 0$ and a quadruple base point at $[t, 0, 1]$, $t \neq 0$. When $t = 0$, we get a linear system of sextics with a base point of multiplicity 5. By imposing further three simple base points, e.g. we choose $[1, 1, 1]$, $[-1, 1, 1]$, and $[2, 1, 1]$, we get a homaloidal net of type $(3, 4, 0, 1, 0)$ for $t \neq 0$ which degenerates to a homaloidal net of De Jonquière type $(10, 0, 0, 0, 1)$. In particular, for $t = 1$, we get the map

$$\begin{aligned} & [-2x^6 - 8y^6 - 4xy^2z^3 + 8x^2y^2z^2 - 4x^3y^2z + 5x^2y^3z - 8xy^4z - 2x^2z^4 + 8x^3z^3 \\ & - 12x^4z^2 - 2y^4z^2 + 8x^5z - 5x^3y^3 + 13x^2y^4 + 5xy^5 : -2y(-xz + x^2 + xy - y^2) \cdot \\ & (-xz + x^2 - y^2)(-z + x - y) : -y^2(-2xz + 2x^2 - xy - 2y^2)(-xz + x^2 + xy - y^2)] \end{aligned}$$

and, for $t = 0$, we get the De Jonquière transformation

$$\begin{aligned} & [-3x^6 - 20y^6 - 20xy^4z + 20x^3y^3 + 23x^2y^4 : -y(8y^5 + 8y^3xz + 3x^5 + \\ & - 11x^3y^2 - 8x^2y^3) : -y^2(4y^4 + 4xyz^2 + 3x^4 - 4x^3y - 7x^2y^2)]. \end{aligned}$$

Again we checked the properties of these maps and we found their inverse maps by using Maple, in such a way we did in Example 48. $\square$

Remark 52. We want to stress a difference between Bir_5° and Bir_6° . It is not difficult to prove that any pair of components in Bir_5° intersects. The situation for Bir_6° is, quite unexpectedly, different. We claim that $\text{Bir}_{(4,1,3,0,0)}^\circ \cap \text{Bir}_{(10,0,0,0,1)}^\circ = \emptyset$. Let $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$ be a degeneration, over a complex disk Δ , with a linear system $\mathcal{L}$ such that the map induced by $\mathcal{L}_0$ is in $\text{Bir}_{(10,0,0,0,1)}$ and the map induced by $\mathcal{L}_t$ is in $\text{Bir}_{(4,1,3,0,0)}^\circ$, for $t \in \Delta \setminus \{0\}$. Let $\mu : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2 \times \Delta$ be the blow up of the unique singular point in $\mathcal{L}_0$, with exceptional divisor $E \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$. Let $\mathcal{L}^Y$ be the strict transform, then $\mathcal{L}_{0|E}^Y$ is a quintic curve with three triple points and a double point. This forces, by a direct computation, $\mathcal{L}_{0|E}^Y$ to be non reduced and therefore introduces a fixed component in $\mathcal{L}_0$. Hence the map induced by $\mathcal{L}_0$ is in $\text{Bir}_{(10,0,0,0,1)} \setminus \text{Bir}_{(10,0,0,0,1)}^\circ$ and $\text{Bir}_{(4,1,3,0,0)}^\circ \cap \text{Bir}_{(10,0,0,0,1)}^\circ = \emptyset$.

The connectedness of Bir_d is considerably simpler even if not all irreducible components intersect each other.

Remark 53. If $\nu_I = (2d - 2, 0, \dots, 0, 1)$, i.e. if ν_I is the H-type of De Jonquière transformations, then it is easy to check that Bir_{ν_I} meets Bir_d^a , for each $a = 1, \dots, d - 1$.

However, the same statement does not hold for each admissible multi-index ν_I .

For example, one may check that the minimum d such that there exists an admissible $\nu_I = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_{d-1})$ with $\text{Bir}_{\nu_I} \cap \text{Bir}_d^1 = \emptyset$ is $d = 10$. Moreover, there are exactly two such admissible ν_I of degree $d = 10$, namely $(0, 0, 7, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0)$ and $(3, 0, 0, 6, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$.

We already recognized that $\text{Bir}_d^a \cap \text{Bir}_d^b \neq \emptyset$. Hence to conclude the connectedness it is enough to show that for any admissible index ν_I there is a degeneration with a fixed component. The following lemmata allow us to produce these degenerations.

Lemma 54. Let $\chi : \mathbb{P}^2 \times \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$ be a one dimensional family over a complex disk Δ . Let F_t be the fiber over the point $t \in \Delta$. Let C_1, C_2 and C_3 be three disjoint sections of χ . Let $p_t^i := C_i \cap F_t$ be the intersection of the i^{th} -section with the fiber F_t . Assume that the points p_t^i are in general position for any t . Then there is a birational modification $\Omega : \mathbb{P}^2 \times \Delta \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2 \times \Delta$ such that $\Omega_t := \Omega|_{F_t}$ is the quadratic Cremona transformation centered at the points $\{p_t^i\}$. Assume that there is a linear system $\mathcal{H} \in \text{Pic}(\mathbb{P}^2 \times \Delta)$ such that $\mathcal{H}_t$ is a homaloidal net associated to a multi-index ν_I and $\mathcal{H}_0$ is a homaloidal net associated to a multi-index μ_I and

$$\sum \text{mult}_{Z_{\nu_I}} p_t^i < \sum \text{mult}_{Z_{\mu_I}} p_0^i.$$

Let $\mathcal{H}' := \Omega_* \mathcal{H}$ be the transformed linear system. Then $\mathcal{H}'_{|F_0}$ has a fixed component.

Proof. Let D_{ij} be the divisor covered by lines spanning the points p_t^i and p_t^j inside F_t . The general position assumption ensures that D_{ij} is a smooth minimally ruled surface. Let $\phi : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2 \times \Delta$ be the blow up of $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \Delta$ along the disjoint sections C_i with exceptional divisors E_i . Let D_{ij}^Y be the strict transform of D_{ij} on Y and l_t^{ij} the strict transform of the line $\langle p_t^i, p_t^j \rangle \subset F_t$, and F_t^Y the strict transform of the fiber F_t . Then we have the following intersection numbers

$$K_Y \cdot l_t^{ij} = K_{F_t^Y} \cdot l_t^{ij} = -1$$

and

$$D_{ij}^Y \cdot l_t^{ij} = D_{ij}^Y \cdot D_{ij}^Y \cdot F_t^Y = (l_t^{ij} \cdot l_t^{ij})_{F_t^Y} = -1.$$

Moreover D_{ij}^Y is ruled by l_t^{ij} and all fibers are irreducible and reduced. This shows, by Mori theory see for instance [1, Theorem 4.1.2], that l_t^{ij} spans an extremal ray and the extremal ray can be contracted to a smooth curve Z_{ij} in a smooth 3-fold. Let ψ be the blow down of the three disjoint divisors D_{ij} . Then ψ is a morphism from Y to $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \Delta$. The required map Ω is just $\psi \circ \phi^{-1}$. To conclude observe that for the general fiber $\Omega_t(\mathcal{H}_t) = \mathcal{H}'_{|F_t}$ and the $\deg \Omega_t(\mathcal{H}_t) = \deg \mathcal{H}' = 2d - \sum \text{mult}_{Z_{\nu_I}} p_i^t$. The numerical assumption on the multiplicities forces $\deg \Omega_0(\mathcal{H}_0) < \deg \mathcal{H}'$. This yields a fixed component in $\mathcal{H}'_{|F_0}$. $\square$

Remark 55. The usage of the above Lemma is to produce degenerations with fixed components starting from known degeneration in a different pure degree.

To apply the above Lemma we have to construct degenerations. This is the aim of the next Lemma.

Lemma 56. *Let ν_I be an admissible multi-index in degree d . Let Z_{ν_I} be a base locus of a general homaloidal net associated to the multi-index ν_I , and $p_1, p_2 \in Z_{\nu_I}$ two points. Assume that*

$$m_1 := \text{mult}_{Z_{\nu_I}} p_1 \leq \text{mult}_{Z_{\nu_I}} p_2 =: m_2.$$

Then there is a degeneration $\chi : \mathbb{P}^2 \times \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$ and a base scheme $\mathcal{Z}$ such that $Z_t := \mathcal{Z}_{|F_t}$ is associated to the multi-index ν_I and $Z_0 := \mathcal{Z}_{|F_0}$ has the point p_1 infinitely near to p_2 .

Proof. The multiplicity of p_2 is at least the one of p_1 and we may degenerate p_1 into p_2 . Assume that $\text{mult}_{p_1} Z_{\nu_I} = m_1$ and $\text{mult}_{p_2} Z_{\nu_I} = m_2$ then a local equation of such a degeneration can be as follows

$$tx_0^{d-m_1}p + x_1^{d-m_2}(x_2^{m_1}h + tg) + x_2^d = 0,$$

where $g \in \mathbb{C}[x_0, x_1, x_2]$ is such that for $t \neq 0$ the points $[1, 0, 0]$ and $[0, 1, 0]$ are ordinary points of multiplicities m_1 and m_2 respectively, and for $t = 0$ the point $[0, 1, 0]$ is of multiplicity m_2 with an infinitely near point of multiplicity m_1 . $\square$

Theorem 57. *The quasi-projective variety Bir_d is connected.*

Proof. As already observed we have only to prove that for any admissible index ν_I the general element admits a degeneration with a fixed component. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a general homaloidal net associated to ν_I and ω a standard Cremona centered in three points of $\text{Bs } \mathcal{L}$ that lowers the degree. Let $\mathcal{L}'$ be the transformed homaloidal net and q_1, q_2, q_3 the three points of indeterminacy of ω^{-1} . Then by Noether–Castelnuovo Theorem the q_i are not of maximal multiplicity. That is we may assume that there is a point $x \in \text{Bs } \mathcal{L}'$ with $\text{mult}_x \mathcal{L}' > \text{mult}_{q_1} \mathcal{L}'$. Then by Lemma 56 there is a degeneration $\chi : \mathbb{P}^2 \times \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$ and a base scheme $\mathcal{Z}$ such that $Z_t := \mathcal{Z}_{|F_t}$ is associated to the multi-index ν_I and $Z_0 := \mathcal{Z}_{|F_0}$ has the point q_1 infinitely near to x .

Let C_i be the section of χ associated to the point q_i and $\Omega, \mathcal{H}'$ the birational modification and linear system on $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \Delta$ as in Lemma 54. Then we may apply Lemma 54 to produce a Cremona transformation $\Omega^{-1} : \mathbb{P}^2 \times \Delta \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2 \times \Delta$ that induces ω^{-1} on the general fiber and produces a fixed component in the special linear system $\mathcal{H}_{|F_0}$. In particular this produces a degeneration of $\mathcal{L}$ to a homaloidal net with a fixed component. $\square$

We are now ready to complete the proof of Theorem 3.

Proof of Theorem 3. By Remark 29, Bir_d is the union of Bir_d° and Bir_d^a , for each $a = 1, \dots, d-1$. Note that Bir_d^{d-1} , that is $\tau_{d-1}(\text{Bir}_1^\circ)$, has dimension $8 + \binom{d+1}{2} - 1 = d(d+1)/2 + 7$, for $d \geq 2$. By Theorem 1, irreducible components of Bir_d coming from irreducible components of Bir_{d-a}° , $a \leq d-2$, have dimension at most

$$4(d-a) + 6 + a(a+3)/2 = 4d + 6 + a(a-5)/2 \leq d(d-1)/2 + 13.$$

When $d \geq 7$, this implies that Bir_d^a , $a \leq d-2$, has components of smaller dimension. $\square$

3. A MEROMORPHIC LEFSCHETZ FIXED POINT THEOREM

The aim of this section is to give further information on the varieties Bir_d° studying the fixed points of a general element and the iterated dynamic it produces, see Theorem 63 and Corollary 64. For this purpose we develop a more general framework that works for compact connected complex manifolds, and prove a Lefschetz fixed point theorem in this more general setting.

In all this section, M will be a compact connected complex manifold of dimension n .

Definition 58. A meromorphic map $f : M \rightarrow M$ is given by an analytic set $I_f \subset M$ of codimension ≥ 2 and a holomorphic map $f_0 : M \setminus I_f \rightarrow M$ such that the closure of the graph of f_0 in $M \times M$ is an analytic set of dimension n .

For a meromorphic map $f : M \rightarrow M$, we call I_f , as above, the *indeterminacy set* of f . Let $\Delta = \{(x, x) \mid x \in M\} \subset M \times M$ be the diagonal and $\Gamma_{f_0} = \{(x, f_0(x))\} \subset M \times M$ the graph of f_0 . We set $\Gamma_f = \overline{\Gamma_{f_0}}$, the closure of Γ_{f_0} in $M \times M$, which is an analytic set of dimension n from definition. We define the set of *fixed points* of f to be the set $\tilde{F} = \pi_1(\Gamma_f \cap \Delta)$, where π_1 is the projection $M \times M \rightarrow M$ onto the first factor. This set contains obviously the points $x \in M$ such that $f_0(x) = x$, i.e., the points $x \in \pi_1(\Gamma_{f_0} \cap \Delta) = \text{Fix}(f_0)$, but it could also contain indeterminacy points of f .

For a smooth form ψ on M , the pull-back $f^*\psi$ is well-defined as a current (cf. [14]). It is given by $f^*\psi = (\pi_1)_*(\pi_2^*\psi \wedge [\Gamma_f])$, where π_2 denotes the projection onto the second factor and $[\Gamma_f]$ the current of integration on Γ_f . Thus, for smooth forms φ and ψ of appropriate degrees on M , we have

$$\int_{\Gamma_f} \pi_1^*\varphi \wedge \pi_2^*\psi = \int_M \varphi \wedge f^*\psi. \quad (12)$$

We also denote by $f^*\psi$ a smooth form cohomologous to $f^*\psi$ as a current.

From the above, we see that f induces an endomorphism $f^* : H_{\bar{\partial}}^{p,q}(M) \rightarrow H_{\bar{\partial}}^{p,q}(M)$ on the Dolbeault cohomology of M . As in the holomorphic case, we define the *p-th global Lefschetz number* of f by

$$L(f, \Omega^p) = \sum_{q=0}^n (-1)^q \text{tr} f^*|_{H_{\bar{\partial}}^{p,q}(M)}.$$

Suppose x is an isolated fixed point of f_0 and let $(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ be local coordinates around x . Let $(f_1, \dots, f_n)$ be components of f in this coordinate system and let Df denote the Jacobian matrix of f . For $p = 0, \dots, n$, we define $\sigma_p(Df)$ by

$$\det(I + tDf) = \sum_{p=0}^n \sigma_p(Df) t^p, \quad (13)$$

in other words $\sigma_p(Df) = \text{tr}(\bigwedge^p Df)$, and define *p-th local Lefschetz number* of f at x as the Grothendieck residue:

$$L(f, \Omega^p; x) = \text{Res}_x \left[\frac{\sigma_p(Df) dz_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dz_n}{(z_1 - f_1), \dots, (z_n - f_n)} \right].$$

In this section we prove a meromorphic Lefschetz fixed point theorem and we will deduce from it a Lefschetz fixed point theorem for rational maps on $\mathbb{P}^n$.

Theorem 59. Let $f : M \rightarrow M$ be a meromorphic map. Suppose that $\tilde{F} \cap I_f = \emptyset$, i.e., $\tilde{F} = \text{Fix}(f_0)$ and that $\text{Fix}(f_0)$ consists of isolated points. Then, for $p = 0, \dots, n$, it holds:

$$L(f, \Omega^p) = \sum_{x \in \text{Fix}(f_0)} L(f, \Omega^p; x). \quad (14)$$

Proof. The Lefschetz fixed point theorem is a residue theorem and as all the theorems of this kind it comes from the simultaneous computation of an integral with “local” and “global” techniques. This can be done as in [25], where the formula is proved in the case f is holomorphic, $p = 0$ and the fixed points are non-degenerate.

The starting point is the computation of the Dolbeault class of the diagonal Δ . To this end, for each $p, q \in \{0, \dots, n\}$, let $\{\psi_{p,q,\mu}\}$ be a collection of $\bar{\partial}$ -closed (p, q) -forms representing a basis for $H_{\bar{\partial}}^{p,q}(M)$, and $\{\psi_{n-p,n-q,\nu}^*\}$ a collection of $\bar{\partial}$ -closed forms representing the dual basis of $H_{\bar{\partial}}^{n-p,n-q}(M)$ via the pairing:

$$H_{\bar{\partial}}^{p,q}(M) \otimes H_{\bar{\partial}}^{n-p,n-q}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad \psi \otimes \varphi \mapsto \int_M \psi \wedge \varphi.$$

Thus we have

$$\int_M \psi_{p,q,\mu} \wedge \psi_{n-p,n-q,\nu}^* = \delta_{\mu\nu}.$$

By the Künneth Formula, a basis for $H_{\bar{\partial}}^{n,n}(M \times M)$ is represented by the forms:

$$\{\varphi_{p,q,\mu,\nu} = \pi_1^* \psi_{p,q,\mu} \wedge \pi_2^* \psi_{n-p,n-q,\nu}^*\}_{p,q,\mu,\nu}.$$

We have a decomposition

$$H_{\bar{\partial}}^{n,n}(M \times M) = \bigoplus_{p=0}^n H_{\bar{\partial}}^{p,n-p,n}(M \times M), \quad (15)$$

where $H_{\bar{\partial}}^{p,n-p,n}(M \times M)$ denotes the space spanned by the classes represented by the forms $\{\varphi_{p,q,\mu,\nu}\}_{q,\mu,\nu}$ for each fixed p . In fact this arises from the corresponding decomposition on the form level. For an (n, n) -form ψ , the p -th component is denoted by ψ^p and called the component of ψ of type $(p, n-p)$.

Now we may think of the diagonal Δ as being in $H_{\bar{\partial}}^{n,n}(M \times M)^*$. The Dolbeault class ζ_Δ of Δ , i.e., the class in $H_{\bar{\partial}}^{n,n}(M \times M)$ corresponding to Δ by the Kodaira-Serre duality

$$H_{\bar{\partial}}^{n,n}(M \times M) \simeq H_{\bar{\partial}}^{n,n}(M \times M)^*$$

is represented by the form

$$\varphi_\Delta = \sum_{p,q,\mu} (-1)^{p+q} \varphi_{p,q,\mu,\mu}.$$

The $(n-p)$ -th component ζ_Δ^{n-p} of ζ_Δ in the decomposition (15) is then represented by

$$\varphi_\Delta^{n-p} = (-1)^{n-p} \sum_{q,\mu} (-1)^q \varphi_{n-p,q,\mu,\mu}.$$

We set

$$L_p = (-1)^p \int_{\Gamma_f} \zeta_\Delta^{n-p}$$

and compute it globally and locally.

For the global computation, from the expression

$$(-1)^p \varphi_\Delta^{n-p} = (-1)^n \sum_{q,\mu} (-1)^q \varphi_{n-p,q,\mu,\mu} = \sum_{q,\mu} (-1)^q \varphi_{n-p,n-q,\mu,\mu},$$

we have, using (12),

$$\begin{aligned} L_p &= \sum_{q,\mu} (-1)^q \int_{\Gamma_f} \varphi_{n-p,n-q,\mu,\mu} = \sum_{q,\mu} (-1)^q \int_{\Gamma_f} \pi_1^* \psi_{n-p,n-q,\mu} \wedge \pi_2^* \psi_{p,q,\mu}^* \\ &= \sum_{q,\mu} (-1)^q \int_M \psi_{n-p,n-q,\mu} \wedge f^* \psi_{p,q,\mu}^* = \sum_q (-1)^q \operatorname{tr} f^*|_{H_{\bar{\partial}}^{p,q}} \\ &= L(f, \Omega^p). \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

For the local computation, we recall that the Bochner-Martinelli kernel on $\mathbb{C}^n$ is given by:

$$\beta(\xi) = C_n \cdot \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \overline{\Phi_i(\xi)} \wedge \Phi(\xi)}{\|\xi\|^{2n}}, \quad C_n = (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \frac{(n-1)!}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^n},$$

where $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $\Phi_i(\xi) = (-1)^{i-1} \xi_i \cdot d\xi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{d\xi_i} \wedge \dots \wedge d\xi_n$, and $\Phi(\xi) = d\xi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge d\xi_n$. Then the Bochner-Martinelli kernel on $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n$ is:

$$k(z, w) = \beta(z - w) = C_n \cdot \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \overline{\Phi_i(z - w)} \wedge \Phi(z - w)}{\|z - w\|^{2n}}.$$

Let the points of $\text{Fix}(f_0)$ be indexed as $\{x_\alpha\}$ and let $z^\alpha = (z_1^\alpha, \dots, z_n^\alpha)$ be a coordinate system in a neighborhood U_α of x_α . We denote $\pi_1^* z^\alpha$ also by z^α and set $w^\alpha = \pi_2^* z^\alpha$. Thus (z^α, w^α) is a coordinate system on the neighborhood $W_\alpha = U_\alpha \times U_\alpha$ of (x_α, x_α) . Let $k_\alpha = k_\alpha(z^\alpha, w^\alpha)$ be the Bochner-Martinelli kernel on W_α . We have $\bar{\partial}k_\alpha = 0$ on $W_\alpha \setminus \Delta$ and the current defined by k_α has distributional derivative with

$$\bar{\partial}k_\alpha = [\Delta] \quad \text{on } W_\alpha, \quad (17)$$

where $[\Delta]$ denotes the current of integration on Δ . Let $\mathbb{B}_\alpha$ and $\mathbb{B}'_\alpha$ be closed balls of small radii ϵ and 2ϵ , respectively, around (x_α, x_α) in W_α and let ρ_α be a smooth function on $M \times M$ with

$$\rho_\alpha \equiv 1 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{B}_\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_\alpha \equiv 0 \quad \text{in } (M \times M) \setminus \text{Int } \mathbb{B}'_\alpha,$$

where $\text{Int } \mathbb{B}'_\alpha$ denotes the interior of $\mathbb{B}'_\alpha$. Let k be the current on $M \times M$ given by

$$k = \sum_{\alpha} \rho_\alpha k_\alpha.$$

and set

$$\varphi = [\Delta] - \bar{\partial}k,$$

which is a $\bar{\partial}$ -closed current cohomologous to φ_Δ . From the fact that φ vanishes on each $\mathbb{B}_\alpha$ by (17), and the hypothesis that $\tilde{F} \cap I_f = \emptyset$, we see that φ is smooth in a sufficiently small open set containing Γ_f . Furthermore φ is equal to the form $-\bar{\partial}k$ away from Δ . Hence, letting φ^{n-p} and k_α^{n-p} denote the components of φ and k_α of type $(n-p, p)$ and setting $\Gamma'_f = \Gamma_f \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha} \text{Int } \mathbb{B}_\alpha$, we have

$$L_p = (-1)^p \int_{\Gamma_f} \varphi^{n-p} = (-1)^p \int_{\Gamma'_f} \varphi^{n-p} = (-1)^p \sum_{\alpha} \int_{\partial(\Gamma_f \cap \mathbb{B}_\alpha)} k_\alpha^{n-p}.$$

Since $\pi_1|_{\Gamma_{f_0}}$ is biholomorphic, $\pi_1(\partial(\Gamma_f \cap \mathbb{B}_\alpha))$ is a $(2n-1)$ -sphere S_α around x_α and we have

$$\int_{\partial(\Gamma_f \cap \mathbb{B}_\alpha)} k_\alpha^{n-p} = \int_{S_\alpha} k_\alpha^{n-p}(z^\alpha, f(z^\alpha)).$$

Since the term in $\Phi(z^\alpha - f(z^\alpha))$ of type $(n-p, p)$ is

$$(-1)^p \sigma_p(Df_{x_\alpha}) \Phi(z^\alpha),$$

we have

$$L_p = \sum_{\alpha} C_n \int_{S_\alpha} \frac{\sigma_p(Df_{x_\alpha}) \sum_{i=1}^n \overline{\Phi_i(z^\alpha - f(z^\alpha))} \wedge \Phi(z^\alpha)}{\|z^\alpha - f(z^\alpha)\|^{2n}}.$$

Finally by the Dolbeault correspondence (cf. Lemma in p.651 of [25]), each term in the above is equal to

$$\text{Res}_{x_\alpha} \left[\sigma_p(Df_{x_\alpha}) dz_1^\alpha \wedge \dots \wedge dz_n^\alpha \right]_{(z_1^\alpha - f_1), \dots, (z_n^\alpha - f_n)}$$

and our proof is now complete. $\square$

Remark 60. 1. Let f be as in Theorem 59. On the one hand by the Fröhlicher spectral sequence, we have

$$\sum_{p=0}^n (-1)^p L(f, \Omega^p) = \sum_{r=0}^{2n} (-1)^r \operatorname{tr} f^*|_{H_{\text{dR}}^r(M)},$$

where $H_{\text{dR}}^r(M)$ denotes the r -th de Rham cohomology of M , so that the right hand side above is the global Lefschetz number $L(f)$ of f for the de Rham complex of M . On the other hand, if x is an isolated fixed point of f_0 , setting $t = -1$ in (13), we have

$$\sum_{p=0}^n (-1)^p L(f, \Omega^p; x) = \operatorname{Res}_x \left[\frac{\det(I - Df_x) dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n}{(z_1 - f_1), \dots, (z_n - f_n)} \right] = \deg(I - f, x),$$

the mapping degree of $I - f$ at x , or the *multiplicity* of the fixed point x . It is the local Lefschetz number $L(f, x)$ at x for the de Rham complex and is always a positive integer in the complex setting.

From the above we have a meromorphic Lefschetz fixed point formula for the de Rham complex of M :

$$L(f) = \sum_{x \in \operatorname{Fix}(f_0)} \deg(I - f, x).$$

Note that the right hand side is the total number of fixed points counted with multiplicity.

2. We say that an isolated fixed point x of f_0 is *non-degenerate*, if $\det(I - Df_x) \neq 0$. Geometrically this means that Γ_{f_0} and Δ intersect transversely at x . If this is the case, we have

$$L(f, \Omega^p; x) = \operatorname{Res}_x \left[\frac{\sigma_p(Df_x) dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n}{(z_1 - f_1), \dots, (z_n - f_n)} \right] = \frac{\sigma_p(Df_x)}{\det(I - Df_x)}.$$

Note that $\sigma_p(Df)$ in the left hand side is a holomorphic function near x , while in the right hand side, it is to be evaluated at x .

As a byproduct of Theorem 59 we have:

Theorem 61. *Let $f : \mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ be a rational map. Suppose that $\tilde{F} \cap I_f = \emptyset$, i.e., $\tilde{F} = \operatorname{Fix}(f_0)$ and that Γ_{f_0} intersects Δ transversally at isolated points. Then, for $p = 0, \dots, n$, it holds:*

$$\sum_{x \in \operatorname{Fix}(f_0)} \frac{\sigma_p(Df_x)}{\det(I - Df_x)} = (-1)^p d_p, \quad (18)$$

where $(d_0, \dots, d_n)$ is the multidegree of f representing the linear action of the pull-back f^* on the Dolbeault cohomology.

Proof. This follows from Theorem 59, Remark 60 and the fact that

$$H_{\bar{\partial}}^{p,q}(\mathbb{P}^n) \simeq \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}, & \text{for } q = p, \\ 0, & \text{for } q \neq p. \end{cases}$$

□

Remark 62. 1. There is a canonical isomorphism $H_{\bar{\partial}}^{p,p}(\mathbb{P}^n) \simeq \mathbb{C}$ for each p so that the multidegree $(d_0, \dots, d_n)$ of f is well-defined (see also [11]). From Remark 60, we have

$$L(f) = \sum_{p=0}^n d_p$$

and it gives the total number of fixed points.

2. A. Guillot in [26] has proved, in the holomorphic setting, that the sum of the quantity obtained by replacing σ_p in the numerator of (18) by a more general invariant polynomial depends only on the dimension of the projective space and the degree of the map.

A particular case of the aforementioned theorem 61 is the following birational Lefschetz fixed point theorem on $\mathbb{P}^2$:

Theorem 63. *Let $f \in \text{Bir}_d^\circ$ with $(d+2)$ fixed points in general position, distinct and simple, i.e. Γ_{f_0} intersects Δ transversally. Moreover suppose that $\tilde{F} \cap I_f = \emptyset$, i.e. $\tilde{F} = \text{Fix}(f_0)$. Then it holds:*

$$\sum_{x \in \text{Fix}(f_0)} \frac{1}{\det(I - Df_x)} = 1, \quad \sum_{x \in \text{Fix}(f_0)} \frac{-\text{tr}(Df_x)}{\det(I - Df_x)} = d, \quad \sum_{x \in \text{Fix}(f_0)} \frac{Df_x}{\det(I - Df_x)} = 1.$$

This result discloses the possible dynamical behaviours of the iterates of a generic $f \in \text{Bir}_d^\circ$ around its fixed points, as the following Corollary shows.

Corollary 64. *Let $f \in \text{Bir}_d^\circ$ with $(d+2)$ different and simple fixed points and such that $\tilde{F} \cap I_f = \emptyset$. The eigenvalues of the linear part of f computed on the fixed points of f cannot be all of modulus strictly less than 1, i.e. the fixed points of the generic $f \in \text{Bir}_d^\circ$ cannot be all attractive.*

Proof. The same argument of [5], page 98, applies for any degree d . $\square$

Theorem 63 and Corollary 64 were previously proved in [5] for degree 2. Our approach, which uses a version of a meromorphic Lefschetz fixed point theorem, allows to strengthen their statement in all the degrees d . The version of the Lefschetz fixed point theorem which has been proven in this paper shows a strong link between the eigenvalues of the differential of a generic meromorphic self-map f of $\mathbb{P}^n$ of degree d at its fixed points and the linear action of the pull-back of f on the Dolbeault cohomology groups: this reflects the richness of the rational and birational geometry context.

APPENDIX A. TEST IF A MULTI-INDEX IS IRREDUCIBLE, I.E. ADMISSIBLE

The following script defines a function “adm” in PARI/GP that checks if a multi-index ν_I is irreducible, or equivalently admissible, cf. Definition 25 and Theorem 27.

The input is a vector $\nu_I = [\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_{d-1}]$, where the square brackets are notation used in GP for denoting row vectors. The output of $\text{adm}(\nu_I)$ is either 1 = true, i.e. ν_I is admissible, or 0 = false, i.e. ν_I is not admissible.

```
adm(v) = { local( d=1+#v , s=1-((#v)+1)^2 , m=vector(3) , t=0 , e=0 );
  for( i=1,#v , s = s+i^2*v[i] );
  if( s , print("ERROR: the self-intersection is not 1"); return(0) );
  s = -#v*3;
  for( i=1,#v , s = s+i*v[i] );
  if( s , print("ERROR: the genus is not 0"); return(0) );
  while( d>2,
    s = 0; t = d; e = d;
    for( i=1,3 , while( !s, t=t-1; s=s+v[t] );
      m[i]=t; e=e-t; v[t]=v[t]-1 ; s=s-1 );
    if( m[1]+m[2]>d, print("The net is reducible"); return(0) );
    for( j=1,3 , t=m[j]+e; if( t , v[t] = v[t] +1 ) );
    d = d+e );
  1}
```

For example, after defining this function “adm” in GP, the command “adm([0, 6, 0, 0])” returns “1”, whilst the command “adm([6, 0, 2, 0])” prints “The net is reducible” and returns “0”, cf. Remark 24.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Andreatta, M. Mella, Morphisms of projective varieties from the viewpoint of minimal model theory, Warsaw: Polska akademia Nauk. Instytut matematyczny, 2003, 72 p. - Dissertationes Mathematicae; **413**.
- [2] M. Alberich-Carramiñana, Geometry of the plane Cremona maps, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1769, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.
- [3] J. Blanc, J-P. Furter, Topologies and structures of the Cremona groups. preprint arXiv:1210.6960 (2012).
- [4] S. Cantat, S. Lamy, Normal subgroups in the Cremona group. (2010) arXiv:1007.0895.
- [5] D. Cerveau, J. Déserti, Transformations birationnelles de petit degré, preprint arXiv: 0811.2325, Cours Spécialisés, Société Mathématique de France, to appear.
- [6] D. Cerveau, J. Déserti, D. Garba Belko, R. Mezzani, Géométrie classique de certains feuilletages de degré deux, Bull. Braz. Math. Soc., New Series **41** (2), (2010), 161–198.
- [7] D. Cerveau, A. Lins Neto, Irreducible components of the space of holomorphic foliations of degree 2 in $\mathbb{CP}^n$, $n \geq 3$, Ann. of Math. (2), **143** (3), (1996), 577–612.
- [8] F. Cukierman, J.V. Pereira, I. Vainsencher, Stability of foliations induced by rational maps, To appear on Annales de la Faculté des Sciences de Toulouse.
- [9] A. Campillo, J. Olivares, A plane foliation of degree different from 1 is determined by its singular scheme, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, t.328, Series I, (1999), 877–882.
- [10] A. Campillo, J. Olivares, Special subschemes of the scheme of singularities of a plane foliation, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Series I, **344** (2007), 581–585.
- [11] I. Dolgachev, Classical Algebraic Geometry: a modern view, Cambridge University Press, 2012.
- [12] I. Dolgachev, Lectures on Cremona Transformations, Ann Arbor-Rome 2010/2011, available from the web page <http://www.math.lsa.umich.edu/~idolga/lecturenotes.html>
- [13] I. Dolgachev, V. A. Iskovskikh, Finite subgroups of the plane Cremona group, in Algebra, arithmetic, and geometry: in honor of Yu. I. Manin. Vol. I, Progress in Math. **269**, Birkhäuser, 2009, 443–548.
- [14] T.C. Dinh, N. Sibony, Distribution des valeurs de transformations méromorphes et applications, Comment. Math. Helv. **81**, (2006), n.1, 221–258.
- [15] G. Ellingsrud, S.A. Strømme, On the homology of the Hilbert scheme of points in the plane, Invent. Math. **87** (1987), no. 2, 343–352.
- [16] F. Enriques, O. Chisini, Lezioni sulla teoria geometrica delle equazioni e delle funzioni algebriche, 4 volumes, Zanichelli, Bologna 1985.
- [17] J. Fogarty, Algebraic families on an algebraic surface, Amer. J. Math **90** (1968), 511–521.
- [18] J. Fogarty, Algebraic families on an algebraic surface. II. The Picard scheme of the punctual Hilbert scheme, Amer. J. Math. **95** (1973), 660–687.
- [19] S. Friedland, J. Milnor, Dynamical properties of plane polynomial automorphisms, Ergod. Th. and Dynamical Sys. **9** (1989), 67–99.
- [20] J-P. Furter, On the variety of automorphisms of the affine plane, Journal of Algebra **195**, (1997), 604–623.
- [21] J-P. Furter, On the length of polynomial automorphisms of the affine plane, Math. Ann. **322** (2002), 401–411.
- [22] E. Edo, J-P. Furter, Some families of polynomial automorphisms, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra **194** (2004), 263–271.
- [23] J-P. Furter, Plane polynomial automorphisms of fixed multidegree, Math. Ann. **343** (2009), 901–920.
- [24] M. Gizatullin, Defining relations for the Cremona group of the plane, Mathematics of the USSR-Izvestiya **21** (2) (1983) 211–268.
- [25] P. Griffiths, J. Harris, Principles of Algebraic Geometry, Pure and Applied Mathematics. Wiley-Interscience [John Wiley and Sons], New York, 1978.
- [26] A. Guillot, Un theoreme de point fixe pour les endomorphismes de l'espace projectif avec des applications aux feuilletages algebriques. Bull. Braz. Math. Soc., New Series **35** (3), 345–362.
- [27] J. Harris, Algebraic geometry. A first course, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 133, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [28] H.P. Hudson, Cremona Transformations in Plane and Space, Cambridge University Press, 1927.
- [29] A. Iarrobino, Punctual Hilbert schemes, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **78** (1972), 819–823.
- [30] H. W. E. Jung, Über ganze birationale transformationen der Ebene, J. Reine Angew. Math. **184** (1942), 161–174.
- [31] A. Mattuck, On the symmetric product of a rational surface, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **21** (1969), 683–688.
- [32] S. Mori, Projective manifolds with ample tangent bundles, Ann. Math., **110**, (1979), 593–606.

- [33] D. Nguyen Dat, Groupe de Cremona, PhD thesis, Université de Nice-Sophia Antipolis 2009.
- [34] I. R. Shafarevich. On some infinite dimensional groups. *Rendiconti Mat. e Applic. (Roma)* **25** (1966), 208-212.

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E INFORMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI FERRARA,
VIA MACHIAVELLI 35, 44121 FERRARA ITALIA

E-mail address: `cinzia.bisi@unife.it`

E-mail address: `alberto.calabri@unife.it`

E-mail address: `mll@unife.it`